

Multicharged Dyonic Integrable Models

I. Cabrera-Carnero, J.F. Gomes, G.M. Sotkov and A.H. Zimerman

Instituto de Física Teórica - IFT/UNESP¹
Rua Pamplona 145
01405-900, São Paulo - SP, Brazil

ABSTRACT

We introduce and study new integrable models (IMs) of $A_n^{(1)}$ -Non-Abelian Toda type which admit $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ charged topological solitons. They correspond to the symmetry breaking $SU(n+1) \rightarrow SU(2) \otimes SU(2) \otimes U(1)^{n-2}$ and are conjectured to describe charged dyonic domain walls of $N=1$ $SU(n+1)$ SUSY gauge theory in large n limit. It is shown that this family of relativistic IMs corresponds to the first negative grade $q = -1$ member of a dyonic hierarchy of generalized cKP type. The explicit relation between the 1-soliton solutions (and the conserved charges as well) of the IMs of grades $q = -1$ and $q = 2$ is found. The properties of the IMs corresponding to more general symmetry breaking $SU(n+1) \rightarrow SU(2)^{\otimes p} \otimes U(1)^{n-p}$ as well as IM with global $SU(2)$ symmetries are discussed.

¹cabrera@ift.unesp.br, jfg@ift.unesp.br, sotkov@ift.unesp.br, zimerman@ift.unesp.br

1 Introduction

Topological solitons of two dimensional $N = 2$ SUSY abelian affine A_n -Toda models are known to describe certain *domain walls* (DW) solutions of four dimensional $N = 1$ SUSY $SU(n+1)$ gauge theory [1], [2]. According to Witten's arguments [3], for large n this SUSY gauge theory should coincide with the ordinary (non SUSY) QCD. It is therefore reasonable to relate the DW of the (non SUSY) $SU(n+1)$ Yang-Mills-Higgs type (YMH) model (for large n and maximal symmetry breaking $SU(n+1) \rightarrow U(1)^n$) to the solitons of the corresponding *non supersymmetric* abelian affine A_n -Toda theory for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Together with these simple DW (with no internal structure), the superstring description of 4-D gauge theories [2], [4] provides examples of, say, $U(1)$, (or $U(1)^l, l \leq n$) charged DW of *dyonic* type or DW that requires non maximal breaking of $SU(n+1)$ to $SU(l) \otimes U(1)^{n-l+1}$ [5], etc. Hence the properties of the abelian affine Toda solitons (and their $N = 2$ SUSY versions) are not sufficient to describe such dyonic DW carrying internal charges - $U(1)^p$ or even non-abelian, say $SU(2)$, etc. It is natural to expect appropriately chosen non-abelian (NA) affine A_n -Toda models [6] (and their $N = 2$ supersymmetric extension) to be the main tool in the description of DW with internal charges. Recently the $U(1)$ charged topological solitons of certain singular affine NA-Toda models [7], [8] have been interpreted as $U(1)$ charged dyonic DW of 4-D $SU(n+1)$ gauge theory. It is expected that such DW-2D soliton relation originates from the fact that specific symmetry reduction (reflecting DW properties) of $SU(n+1)$ self-dual YM (SDYM) equations reproduces the equations of motion of certain two dimensional integrable models (2-D IMs). The simplest representative of such dyonic IM (with $p = 1$, i.e. one $U(1)$ symmetry) is given by the Lagrangian [7]

$$\mathcal{L}_n^{p=1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} k_{ij} \partial^\mu \varphi_i \partial_\mu \varphi_j + \frac{(\partial^\mu \psi \partial_\mu \chi + \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \psi \partial_\nu \chi)}{1 + \beta^2 \frac{(n+1)}{2n} \psi \chi e^{-\beta \varphi_1}} e^{-\beta \varphi_1} - V \quad (1.1)$$

with potential

$$V = \frac{\mu^2}{\beta^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e^{-\beta k_{ij} \varphi_j} + e^{\beta(\varphi_1 + \varphi_{n-1})} (1 + \beta^2 \psi \chi e^{-\beta \varphi_1}) - n \right)$$

where $k_{ij} = 2\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j-1} - \delta_{i,j+1}, i, j = 1, \dots, n-1$. It represents a pair of $U(1)$ charged fields ψ, χ of Lund-Regge type [12] interacting with a set of neutral fields φ_i of the A_{n-1} abelian affine Toda model. For imaginary coupling $\beta \rightarrow i\beta_0$ its potential has n - distinct vacua and manifests discrete $Z_2 \otimes Z_n$ and a global $U(1)$ symmetry. As a consequence the IM (1.1) admits both, $U(1)$ charged and neutral, topological solitons. The semiclassical spectrum of such dyonic solitons turns out to be quite similar to the one of charged DW of $N = 1$ $SU(n+1)$ Supersymmetric Yang-Mills (SYM) theory for non-maximal breaking $SU(n+1) \rightarrow SU(2) \otimes U(1)^{n-1}$.

Apart from the problem of exact identification of certain 2-D solitons as 4-D DWs [11] an important question to be addressed is whether one can construct in this manner also $U(1)^p, p \geq 2$, multicharged DWs and *nonabelian* (say $SU(2)$) DW as well. Which are the 2-D IMs that admit topological solitons carrying $U(1)^p$ or $SU(2)$ charges? What are the main features of such solitons and how to derive their masses and charges? Should we restrict

ourselves in considering relativistic 2-D IMs of NA-Toda type only, or we also have to include certain nonrelativistic IMs, as for example the nonlinear Schroedinger type models? The present paper provides a partial answer to these questions. It is devoted to the construction of both, *relativistic and nonrelativistic* dyonic IMs of global $U(1) \otimes U(1)$, (i.e. $p = 2$) symmetry and to the detailed study of their soliton spectra. We also introduce and discuss its generalization to multicharged IMs with $U(1)^p$ ($p = 1, \dots, n-1$) and $U(2)$ global symmetries. The main purpose of the present research is the construction of soliton solutions of the following $A_n^{(1)}$ ($p = 2$) dyonic IM (and its nonrelativistic counterpart (5.8)):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_n^{p=2} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} k_{ij} \partial \varphi_i \bar{\partial} \varphi_j + \frac{1}{\Delta} \left((1 + \beta^2 \frac{n}{2(n-1)} \psi_n \chi_n e^{-\beta \varphi_{n-2}}) \bar{\partial} \psi_1 \partial \chi_1 e^{-\beta \varphi_1} \right. \\ &+ (1 + \beta^2 \frac{n}{2(n-1)} \psi_1 \chi_1 e^{-\beta \varphi_1}) \bar{\partial} \psi_n \partial \chi_n e^{-\beta \varphi_{n-2}} \\ &+ \left. \frac{\beta^2}{2(n-1)} (\chi_1 \psi_n \bar{\partial} \psi_1 \partial \chi_n + \chi_n \psi_1 \bar{\partial} \psi_n \partial \chi_1) e^{-\beta(\varphi_1 + \varphi_{n-2})} \right) - V_n^{p=2} \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

with potential

$$V_n^{p=2} = \frac{\mu^2}{\beta^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} e^{-\beta k_{ij} \varphi_j} + e^{-\beta(\varphi_1 + \varphi_{n-2})} (1 + \beta^2 \psi_n \chi_n e^{-\beta \varphi_{n-2}}) (1 + \beta^2 \psi_1 \chi_1 e^{-\beta \varphi_1}) - n + 1 \right)$$

where $\varphi_0 = \varphi_{n-1} = 0$ and

$$\Delta = 1 + \frac{\beta^2 n}{2(n-1)} (\psi_1 \chi_1 e^{-\beta \varphi_1} + \psi_n \chi_n e^{-\beta \varphi_{n-2}}) + \frac{\beta^4 (n+1)}{4(n-1)} \psi_1 \chi_1 \psi_n \chi_n e^{-\beta(\varphi_1 + \varphi_{n-2})}.$$

The Lagrangian (1.2) is invariant under global $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ transformations: $\psi'_a = e^{i\beta^2 \epsilon_a} \psi_a$, $\chi'_a = e^{-i\beta^2 \epsilon_a} \chi_a$, ($a = 1, n$), $\varphi'_i = \varphi_i$, (with charges Q_1 and Q_n) as well as under the $Z_2 \otimes Z_{n-1}$ discrete transformations. For imaginary coupling $\beta = i\beta_0$, $V_n^{p=2}$ represents $(n-1)$ distinct zeros and now the IM (1.2) admits three different kinds of 1-soliton solutions, namely:

- Neutral (of A_{n-2} abelian Toda type) solitons [10]
- $U(1)$ charged 1-solitons ($Q_1 \neq 0, Q_n = 0$ and $Q_n \neq 0, Q_1 = 0$) of A_{n-1} ($p = 1$) dyonic type [7], [8]
- New $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ charged 1-solitons ($Q_1 \neq 0, Q_n \neq 0, Q_{mag} \neq 0$) which appears as bound states of the above $(Q_1, 0)$ and $(0, Q_n)$ 1-solitons.

From the Hamiltonian reduction point of view [6] the $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ charged IM (1.2) appears as a natural generalization of the $U(1)$ dyonic model (1.1) including an extra pair of charged fields ψ_n, χ_n and a rather complicated kinetic term (involving quartic terms in the denominator Δ) for $\psi_a, \chi_a, a = 1, n$. Hence, the methods developed in the construction of solitons of the IM (1.1), [7],[8] can be extended to the case of multicharged IM (1.2) with certain

modifications concerning the genuine (Q_1, Q_n) charged solitons. An important *new feature* of the semiclassical spectra of these (Q_1, Q_n) 1-solitons (see Sect. 3.4):

$$\begin{aligned} M_{j_{el}, j_\varphi} &= \frac{4(n-1)\mu}{\beta_0^2} \left| \sin \frac{(4\pi j_\varphi - \beta_0^2 j_{el})}{4(n-1)} \right|, \quad j_{el} = 0, \pm 1, \dots \quad j_\varphi = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm(n-2), \\ Q_{mag} &= \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0^2} j_\varphi, \quad Q_1^{el} = \beta_0^2 \left(\frac{j_{el}}{2} + \delta_0 \right), \quad Q_n^{el} = \beta_0^2 \left(-\frac{j_{el}}{2} + \delta_0 \right), \quad \delta_0 \in R \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

is that their masses M_{j_{el}, j_φ} depend on the difference of the $U(1)$ charges $Q_1^{el} - Q_n^{el} = \beta_0^2 j_{el}$ only. The latter is quantized semiclassically ($j_{el} \in Z$), while the sum of the charges $Q_1^{el} + Q_n^{el} = \beta_0^2 \delta_0$ remains continuous ($\delta_0 \in R$). The new kind of *dyonic effect* that takes place for the model (1.2) should be also mentioned. Together with the standard shift of Q_a^{el} by the magnetic charge Q_{mag} (see Sect. 2.5):

$$Q_a^{el} \rightarrow Q_a^{el} - \frac{\beta_0^2}{2\pi} \nu^a j_\varphi, \quad a = 1, n$$

which is induced by the topological term (2.42) (present in the IM (1.1) as well), one can add to the Lagrangian (1.2) a *new* topological term

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_\theta^{top} = \frac{\nu_\theta}{4\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \ln \left(\frac{\chi_n}{\psi_n} \right) \partial_\nu \ln \left(\frac{\chi_1}{\psi_1} \right)$$

Its effect is another shift of the electric charges:

$$Q_1^{el} \rightarrow Q_1^{el} - \frac{\beta_0^2}{2\pi^2} \nu_\theta Q_\theta^{(1)}, \quad Q_n^{el} \rightarrow Q_n^{el} + \frac{\beta_0^2}{2\pi^2} \nu_\theta Q_\theta^{(n)}$$

by the topological charges $Q_\theta^{(a)} = \frac{\pi}{2} j_\theta^a$.

Our dyonic IM (1.2) is not the only candidate to describe the $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ charged DWs. Answering the question about the *nonrelativistic* 2-D IMs admitting $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ charged topological solitons, we derive in Sect. 5, a new family of IMs of constrained Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (cKP) type. They are represented by a system of integrable second order differential equations (5.8) for the set of fields $u_l(x, t), r_a(x, t), q_a(x, t)$ ($l = 1, \dots, n-2; a = 1, n$), which is invariant under global $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ transformation ($\epsilon_a = \text{const}$):

$$q'_a = e^{i\epsilon_a} q_a, \quad r'_a = e^{-i\epsilon_a} r_a, \quad u'_l = u_l$$

Although the nonrelativistic IM (5.8) does not belong to the class of affine NA-Toda IMs, it shares (by construction) the same algebraic structure underlining the relativistic IM (1.2). This fact allows us to establish simple relations between the 1-soliton solutions of both models, as well as between the conserved charges characterizing their soliton spectra, similar to those found in [13] involving charges of sine-Gordon and mKdV and its generalization to certain affine NA-Toda and cKP models in ref. [14]. An interesting feature of IM (5.8) is that the fields $u_l(x, t)$ themselves serve as densities of the conserved charges $\tilde{Q}_l = \int u_l dx$ called “fluxes”. They appear to be the nonrelativistic counterparts of the electric and magnetic charges of the relativistic model (1.2) as one can see from the following relation

$$\tilde{Q}_l = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \left(l(4\pi j_\varphi - Q_1^{el} + Q_n^{el}) + (n-1)Q_1^{el} \right) \quad (1.4)$$

The fluxes $\tilde{Q}_l$, together with the “particle number” charge $\tilde{Q}_0$ given by

$$\tilde{Q}_0 = \frac{2(n-1)\kappa}{\gamma} \left| \sin \frac{\tilde{Q}_1 - \tilde{Q}_{n-2}}{2(n-3)} \right|, \quad \kappa = \frac{\mu}{\beta_0^2} \quad (1.5)$$

determine the essential part of the 1-soliton spectrum of the nonrelativistic IM (5.10). An important characteristic of the relation between the IMs (1.2) and (5.8) is that the masses of the relativistic 1-solitons and the nonrelativistic “particle” number $\tilde{Q}_0$ are proportional,

$$\tilde{Q}_0 = \frac{1}{2\gamma} M_{j_{el}, j_\varphi}^{rel}$$

as one can verify by comparing eqns. (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5). It is worthwhile to mention that the existence of the nonrelativistic counterpart of the IM (1.2) is not a specific feature of the particular IMs with $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ symmetry we are considering. Applying the methods presented in Sect. 5 one can construct an entire hierarchy of nonrelativistic IMs (of higher order $q = 2, 3, \dots$ differential equations) for each abelian or nonabelian affine Toda model, including the simplest case of $U(1)$ dyonic IM (1.1).

This paper is organized as follows. Sect. 2 contains together with the path integral derivation of the effective Lagrangian (1.2) (and its ungauged versions (2.12) and (2.15)), the corresponding zero curvature representations as well as the proof of their classical integrability. The construction of the new (Q_1, Q_n) -charged topological 1-soliton solutions of IM (1.2) is approached in two different manners. In Sect. 3 we present the explicit 1-soliton solutions obtained by applying the vacua Bäcklund transformation, i.e. by constructing and solving the corresponding soliton first order differential equations. The derivation of the semiclassical 1-soliton spectrum is given in Sect. 3.4. Sect. 4 is devoted to the vertex operator (τ function) method. Following the standard “Heisenberg subalgebra” constructions, the explicit form of the four different type (“neutral” and “charged”) soliton vertex operators is obtained. For example, the (Q_1, Q_n) -charged 1-soliton is represented by specific composite 4- vertex operator. The corresponding G_0 - τ -functions $\tau_0, \tau_j, j = 1, \dots, n-2, \tau_a^\pm, a = 1, n$ are realized as vacuum expectation values of appropriate soliton vertex operators. It turns out that the cumbersome algebraic manipulation to be performed in the derivation of the explicit form of the τ -functions is simpler in the case of the nonrelativistic generalized constrained KP hierarchy models constructed in Sect.5. They have the same algebraic structure $A_n^{(1)}, Q, \mathcal{G}_0, \epsilon_+$ (see Sect. 2.1) as our relativistic dyonic model (1.2) but with the negative grade $q = -1$ constant element ϵ_- replaced by a constant element of grade $q = 2$. Another difference lies in the zero grade parameters (i.e. the physical fields) of the nonrelativistic model. They are directly related to the current $(\partial_x g_0)g_0^{-1}$, while for the relativistic theory they parametrize the group element $g_0 \in G_0$. The precise statement presented in Sect. 5 is that the relativistic dyonic IM (1.2) appears as the first negative flow of the new dyonic hierarchy $A_n^{(1)}(p = 2, q)$ given by eqns. (5.8). It is a generalization of the well known relation between the sine-Gordon and MKdV [19], Lund-Regge and Non linear Schroedinger models [18], the generalized $A_n^{(1)}(p = 1)$ dyonic models (1.1) and the constrained cKP hierarchy [20] and their supersymmetric versions [21].

Section 6 contains preliminary discussion of the algebraic structure and the effective actions of more general multicharged dyonic models, as for example the $SL(3)$ generalization of the Lund-Regge model and $SL(2)^{\otimes p} \otimes U(1)^{n-p}$ models ($p = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$).

2 Dyonic $A_n^{(1)}(p = 2)$ IM as Gauged Two-loop WZW Model

2.1 Algebraic Structure of the Hamiltonian Reduction

The gauged G_0/G_0^0 - WZW model based on finite dimensional Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{G/H}(g, A, \bar{A}) &= S_{WZW}(g) \\ &- \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^2x \text{Tr} \left(A(\bar{\partial} g g^{-1} - \epsilon_+) + \bar{A}(g^{-1} \partial g - \epsilon_-) + A g \bar{A} g^{-1} + A_0 \bar{A}_0 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where $A = A_- + A_0$, $\bar{A} = \bar{A}_+ + \bar{A}_0$, $A_- \in \mathcal{G}_<$, $\bar{A}_+ \in \mathcal{G}_>$, $A_0, \bar{A}_0 \in \mathcal{G}_0^0$, $g \in G$ and $G_0^0 = \exp(\mathcal{G}_0^0)$. As is well known all the conformal field theories: abelian and non-abelian Toda models, parafermions, [22], [23], etc. can be represented as appropriate gauged WZW models. The subgroups $H_<, H_> \subset G$ are generated by positive/negative grade subalgebras $\mathcal{G}_<$ and $\mathcal{G}_>$ respectively, according to the appropriately chosen grading operator Q ², decomposing the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ in graded subspaces,

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \frac{2\lambda_i \cdot H}{\alpha_i^2}, \quad [Q, \mathcal{G}_l] = l\mathcal{G}_l, \quad s_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \mathcal{G} &= \oplus \mathcal{G}_l, \quad [\mathcal{G}_l, \mathcal{G}_k] \subset \mathcal{G}_{l+k}, \quad l, k = 0, \pm 1, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where λ_i denote the fundamental weights of $\mathcal{G}$. The constant elements $\epsilon_{\pm} \in \mathcal{G}_{\pm 1}$ of grade ± 1 , (say $\epsilon_{\pm} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^{\pm} E_{\pm \alpha_i}^{(0)}$) indicate those WZW currents³ that are taken to be constants, *i.e.* $J_{\alpha_i} = \mu_i^+$, $\bar{J}_{-\alpha_i} = \mu_i^-$. In fact, Q is providing G with specific Gauss-like decomposition $G = H_< G_0 H_>$ with the important difference that the zero grade subgroup G_0 (parametrized by physical fields, say $\varphi_i, \psi_a, \chi_a$ in eqn. (1.2)) is in general non-abelian. Each conformal model is specified by the choice $\mathcal{G}, Q, \epsilon_{\pm}$.

Similar construction takes place for $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$, an infinite dimensional Affine (Kac-Moody) algebra [6]. They give rise to a larger class of (non-conformal) integrable models which are in fact integrable deformations of the conformal models described above. The main ingredient is now the so called *two-loop* gauged WZW model (*i.e.*, the model associated to an affine algebra $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$) [15] described formally by the same action (2.1) with an important difference that all $\hat{\mathcal{G}}, \hat{H}_<, H_>$ are infinite dimensional (and so is $\hat{g}$ and $A, \bar{A}$) [8]. The zero grade subgroup $G_0 = G/H$ is however chosen to be *finite dimensional*. The grading operator Q is now given by

$$Q = \tilde{h}d + \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \frac{2\lambda_i \cdot H}{\alpha_i^2}, \quad [d, E_a^{(n)}] = nE_a^{(n)}, \quad [d, H_i^{(n)}] = nH_i^{(n)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (2.3)$$

where $\tilde{h}$ is a positive integer to be specified below. The major difference from the conformal models is in the constant grade element $\epsilon_{\pm}$ that may include extra affine generators of the

²see [6] and app. A of [23] for our algebraic notation

³The WZW currents in question have the form $J_{\beta} = \text{Tr}(E_{\beta} g_0^{-1} \partial g_0)$ and $\bar{J}_{\beta} = \text{Tr}(E_{\beta} \bar{\partial} g_0 g_0^{-1})$

form $E_{\mp\beta}^{(\pm 1)} = \lambda^{\pm 1} E_{\mp\beta}^{(0)}$. For instance in the abelian A_n affine Toda models, where Q is given by the *principal* gradation we have,

$$Q = (n+1)d + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2\lambda_i \cdot H}{\alpha_i^2}, \quad \hat{\epsilon}_{\pm} = \sum_{i=1}^n E_{\pm\alpha_i}^{(0)} + E_{\mp(\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_n)}^{(\mp 1)} \quad (2.4)$$

Since in this case the invariant subalgebra $\mathcal{G}_0^0 \in \mathcal{G}_0$ defined as $[\mathcal{G}_0^0, \epsilon_{\pm}] = 0$ is trivial (empty), these models have no continuous (local or global) symmetries. Their Lagrangians are invariant under the discrete permutation group S_n (Weyl group of A_n) [10].

On the other hand, the so called *homogeneous* gradations

$$Q = d, \quad \epsilon_{\pm} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i h_i^{(\pm 1)}, \quad \mathcal{G}_0^0 = \{h_i^{(0)}, i = 1, \dots, n\} = U(1)^n \quad (2.5)$$

define a class of IMs of electric type with maximal number n of local $U(1)^n$ symmetries and potential $V = Tr(\epsilon_+ g_0 \epsilon_- g_0^{-1})$ which has no nontrivial zeros. One can further gauge fix axial or vector local symmetries by considering the G_0/G_0^0 -gauged WZW model,

$$\begin{aligned} S(g_0, A_0, \bar{A}_0) &= S_{WZW}(g_0) - \frac{k}{2\pi} \int Tr(\epsilon_+ g_0 \epsilon_- g_0^{-1}) d^2x \\ &- \frac{k}{2\pi} \int Tr(\pm A_0 \bar{\partial} g_0 g_0^{-1} + \bar{A}_0 g_0^{-1} \partial g_0 \pm A_0 g_0 \bar{A}_0 g_0^{-1} + A_0 \bar{A}_0) d^2x \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where the $\pm$ signs correspond to axial or vector gaugings respectively, $g'_0 = \alpha_0 g_0 \alpha'_0$, $A'_0 = A_0 - \alpha_0^{-1} \partial \alpha_0$, $\bar{A}'_0 = \bar{A}_0 - \bar{\partial} \alpha'_0 (\alpha'_0)^{-1}$ and $\alpha'_0 = \alpha_0(z, \bar{z}) \in \mathcal{G}_0^0$ for axial and $\alpha'_0 = \alpha_0^{-1}(z, \bar{z}) \in \mathcal{G}_0^0$ for vector cases. Since $G_0/U(1)^l$, $0 \leq l \leq n$ is non abelian and define homogeneous space, the IMs of this type are known as Homogeneous sine-Gordon models [24]. They are generalization of the usual complex SG model (i.e., $n = 1$, known as Lund-Regge [12]). Note that the corresponding effective action manifest global $U(1)^l$ symmetries.

The two examples illustrate the extreme cases, the *principal* gradation, with no continuous symmetries ($\mathcal{G}_0^0 = \emptyset$) but maximal number of topological charges and the *homogeneous* gradation with maximal number of local (or global) $U(1)^l$ symmetries, but no topological charges. An interesting class of IMs arises when the following intermediate (dyonic type) gradation is considered

$$Q = \tilde{h}d + \sum_{i \neq a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p} \frac{2\lambda_i \cdot H}{\alpha_i^2}, \quad \hat{\epsilon}_{\pm} = \sum_{i \neq a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p}^n E_{\pm\alpha_i}^{(0)} + \sum_s \mu_s^{(1)} E_{\mp\rho_s}^{(\pm 1)} \quad (2.7)$$

where $a = (a_1, \dots, a_p)$ denote the corresponding set of omitted fundamental weights λ_a and simple roots α_a ; $\tilde{h} = 1 + \sum_{i \neq a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p} \frac{2\lambda_i \cdot \rho_s}{\alpha_i^2}$ and ρ_s are appropriate composite roots of $A_n^{(1)}$ to specified below. The main feature of such dyonic IM is that (i) only part of the Cartan subalgebra, say $U(1)^l$, $l \leq p \leq n-1$ generate local (or global) Noether symmetries and (ii) their potential for imaginary coupling constant and appropriate choice of $\epsilon_{\pm}$ has $(n-l+1)$ distinct zeros and Z_{n-l+1} as an asymptotic (discrete) symmetry group. Hence they admit

soliton solutions carrying both $U(1)^l$ electric and topological (magnetic) Z_{n-l+1} charges. The simplest is the $A_n^{(1)}(l = p = 1)$ dyonic IM defined by

$$Q = nd + \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{2\lambda_i \cdot H}{\alpha_i^2}, \quad \hat{\epsilon}_{\pm} = \sum_{i=2}^n \mu_i E_{\pm\alpha_i}^{(0)} + E_{\mp(\alpha_2+\dots+\alpha_n)}^{(\pm 1)} \quad (2.8)$$

such that $\mathcal{G}_0 = SL(2) \otimes U(1)^{n-1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_0^0 = \{\lambda_1 \cdot H\}$. It has been introduced and systematically studied in refs. [7], [8]. The problem we address here concerns the construction and the study of the properties of solitons for multicharged ($p > 1$) IMs. Among the vast variety of families of p-multicharged dyonic IM we choose one simple representative characterized by the form of the zero grade subgroup ⁴ $\mathcal{G}_0 = SL(2)^p \otimes U(1)^{n-p}$. Although the methods we are employing in the construction of the p-charged dyonic models are universal (and valid for all $1 \leq p \leq n-1$) we restrict ourselves for simplicity to consider the case $p = l = 2$ only,

$$Q = (n-1)d + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \lambda_i \cdot H, \quad \epsilon_{\pm} = \mu \left(\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} E_{\pm\alpha_i}^{(0)} + E_{\mp(\alpha_2+\dots+\alpha_{n-1})}^{(\pm 1)} \right), \quad (2.9)$$

and $\mathcal{G}_0^0 = \{\lambda_1 \cdot H, \lambda_n \cdot H\}$. The specific choice of s_i and μ_i , namely, $s = (0, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 0)$ in eqn. (2.9) is not a strong restriction. Following the discussion of Sect. 2.7 of ref. [7] (concerning the Weyl families of $A_n(p = 1)$ IMs) one can easily verify that any other choices⁵ of s , preserving the structure of $\mathcal{G}_0$, say $\tilde{s} = (0, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 0, 1)$ is related to (2.9) by certain Weyl transformation. The actions for both models (s and $\tilde{s}$) are related by change of variables similar to the one of $A_n(p = 1)$ case presented in ref. [7]. Our symmetric choice (2.9), represents however certain advantages in the construction of $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ topological solitons in Sect. 3 and 4 below.

2.2 Effective Dyonic Actions

Given the graded structure (2.9) of the affine group $A_n^{(1)}$, the elements of the zero grade subgroup are parametrized as

$$g_0 = e^{(\tilde{\chi}_1 E_{-\alpha_1}^{(0)} + \tilde{\chi}_n E_{-\alpha_n}^{(0)})} e^{(R_1 \lambda_1 \cdot H^{(0)} + R_n \lambda_n \cdot H^{(0)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \varphi_i h_{i+1}^{(0)})} e^{(\tilde{\psi}_1 E_{\alpha_1}^{(0)} + \tilde{\psi}_n E_{\alpha_n}^{(0)})} \quad (2.10)$$

and the corresponding positive/negative grade subgroups $H_{<}$ and $H_{>}$ contain all nonphysical fields and are generated by the positive/negative grade $\mathcal{G}_{>}$ ($\mathcal{G}_{<}$) generators of the $A_n^{(1)}$ affine algebra with respect to Q given in (2.7). As we have explained before, the starting point in the derivation of the $A_n^{(1)}(p = 2)$ dyonic IM action is the gauged ($H_{<}, H_{>}$ invariant) $G_0 = G/H$ two-loop WZW model given by eqns. (2.1) without the $A_0 \bar{A}_0$ term. The result of the formal functional integration yields the following $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ -ungauged effective action

$$S_{G_0}(g_0) = S_{WZW}(g_0) - \frac{k}{2\pi} \int dz d\bar{z} Tr \left(\epsilon_+ g_0 \epsilon_- g_0^{-1} \right) \quad (2.11)$$

⁴ Note that for given p, one has several inequivalent choices for s_i and $\mu_i, \mu_s^{(1)}$ that leads to different $\mathcal{G}_0$ for example, for $p = 2$, we have $\mathcal{G}_0^{(1)} = SL(2) \otimes SL(2) \otimes U(1)^{n-2}$ and $\mathcal{G}_0^{(2)} = SL(3) \otimes U(1)^{n-2}$ corresponding to $Q_1 = (n-1)d + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \lambda_i \cdot H$ and $Q_2 = (n-1)d + \sum_{i=3}^n \lambda_i \cdot H$, respectively.

⁵except the particular case $\tilde{s} = (0, 0, 1, \dots, 1, 1)$ and $Q = Q_2$. Due to the fact that now $\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2 \neq 0$ ($\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_j = 0$ for all other cases), this model is not Weyl equivalent to the original one, since it does not preserve the structure of $\mathcal{G}_0$

$g_0 \in G_0 = SL(2) \otimes SL(2) \otimes U(1)^{n-2}$. Taking into account the parametrization (2.10), it leads to the following action ($\beta^2 = -\frac{2\pi}{k}$):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{G_0} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} k_{ij} \partial \varphi_i \bar{\partial} \varphi_j + \partial \bar{\chi}_1 \bar{\partial} \bar{\psi}_1 e^{\beta(R_1 - \varphi_1)} + \partial \bar{\chi}_n \bar{\partial} \bar{\psi}_n e^{\beta(R_n - \varphi_{n-2})} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \left(n \partial R_1 \bar{\partial} R_1 + n \partial R_n \bar{\partial} R_n + \partial R_1 \bar{\partial} R_n + \partial R_n \bar{\partial} R_1 \right) - V_0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$V_0 = \frac{\mu^2}{\beta^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} e^{-\beta k_{ij} \varphi_j} + e^{\beta(\varphi_1 + \varphi_{n-2})} (1 + \beta^2 \bar{\psi}_1 \bar{\chi}_1 e^{\beta(R_1 - \varphi_1)}) (1 + \beta^2 \bar{\psi}_n \bar{\chi}_n e^{\beta(R_n - \varphi_{n-2})}) - n + 1 \right).$$

As we have mentioned, the fields $\varphi_j, j = 1, \dots, n-2, R_a, \bar{\psi}_a, \bar{\chi}_a, (a = 1, n)$ parametrize the nonabelian zero grade subgroup G_0 . The ungauged IM (2.12) represents an integrable deformation of the G_0 -WZW model with the potential V_0 . An important feature of (2.12) is that it is invariant under chiral $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ local gauge transformations $w_a(z), \bar{w}_a(\bar{z})$:

$$\varphi'_i = \varphi_i, \quad R'_a = R_a + w_a + \bar{w}_a, \quad \bar{\psi}'_a = e^{-\beta w_a} \bar{\psi}_a, \quad \bar{\chi}'_a = e^{-\beta \bar{w}_a} \bar{\chi}_a \quad (2.13)$$

reflecting the fact that G_0 has two dimensional $\epsilon_{\pm}$ -invariant subgroup $\mathcal{G}_0^0 = \{\lambda_1 \cdot H, \lambda_n \cdot H\}$, such that $[\mathcal{G}_0^0, \epsilon_{\pm}] = 0$.

We further consider an intermediate $A_n^{(1)}(p=2)$ dyonic IM with one local $U(1)$ and one global (axial) $U(1)$ (generated by $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_n) \cdot H$) symmetry. It is obtained from eqns. (2.6) with $A_0 = a_0(\lambda_1 + \lambda_n) \cdot H, \bar{A}_0 = \bar{a}_0(\lambda_1 + \lambda_n) \cdot H$ ⁶ and zero grade factor group element g_0^f is taken in the form

$$g_0^f = e^{(\bar{\chi}_1 E_{-\alpha_1}^{(0)} + \bar{\chi}_n E_{-\alpha_n}^{(0)})} e^{(\bar{R}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_n) \cdot H^{(0)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \varphi_i h_{i+1}^{(0)})} e^{(\bar{\psi}_1 E_{\alpha_1}^{(0)} + \bar{\psi}_n E_{\alpha_n}^{(0)})} \quad (2.14)$$

where $\bar{\psi}_a = \bar{\psi}_a e^{\frac{1}{4}(R_1 + R_n)}$, $\bar{\chi}_a = \bar{\chi}_a e^{\frac{1}{4}(R_1 + R_n)}$ and $\bar{R} = \frac{1}{2}(R_1 - R_n)$. In order to construct its action, we first consider the partition function for this intermediate $A_n(p=2)$ model,

$$\mathcal{Z}_n^{p=2} = \int Dg_0 D A_0 D \bar{A}_0 \exp(-S_{G_0/G_0^0}^{ax}(g_0, A_0, \bar{A}_0))$$

where the action $S_{G_0/G_0^0}^{ax}$ of the axial gauge fixed model is given by (2.6) with upper signs. Integrating over the corresponding auxiliary fields $A_0, \bar{A}_0$, we derive the effective action representing this IM,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{n,inter}^{p=2} &= \frac{(n-1)}{(n+1)} \partial \bar{R} \bar{\partial} \bar{R} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} k_{ij} \partial \varphi_i \bar{\partial} \varphi_j \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Delta_0} \left(\left(1 + \frac{\beta^2}{4} \bar{\psi}_n \bar{\chi}_n e^{-\beta(\varphi_{n-2} + \bar{R})} \right) \bar{\partial} \bar{\psi}_1 \partial \bar{\chi}_1 e^{\beta(\bar{R} - \varphi_1)} \right. \\ &+ \left(1 + \frac{\beta^2}{4} \bar{\psi}_1 \bar{\chi}_1 e^{-\beta(\varphi_1 - \bar{R})} \right) \bar{\partial} \bar{\psi}_n \partial \bar{\chi}_n e^{-\beta(\bar{R} + \varphi_{n-2})} \\ &\left. - \frac{\beta^2}{4} (\bar{\psi}_n \bar{\chi}_1 \bar{\partial} \bar{\psi}_1 \partial \bar{\chi}_n + \bar{\psi}_1 \bar{\chi}_n \bar{\partial} \bar{\psi}_n \partial \bar{\chi}_1) e^{-\beta(\varphi_1 + \varphi_{n-2})} \right) - V_{inter} \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

⁶ $a_0(z, \bar{z}), \bar{a}_0(z, \bar{z})$ are arbitrary functions parametrizing the auxiliary fields

where

$$V_{inter} = \frac{\mu^2}{\beta^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} e^{-\beta k_{ij} \varphi_j} + e^{\beta(\varphi_1 + \varphi_{n-2})} (1 + \beta^2 \bar{\psi}_1 \bar{\chi}_1 e^{\beta(\bar{R} - \varphi_1)}) (1 + \beta^2 \bar{\psi}_n \bar{\chi}_n e^{-\beta(\bar{R} + \varphi_{n-2})}) - n + 1 \right),$$

and $\Delta_0 = 1 + \frac{\beta^2}{4} (\bar{\psi}_1 \bar{\chi}_1 e^{\beta(\bar{R} - \varphi_1)} + \bar{\psi}_n \bar{\chi}_n e^{-\beta(\bar{R} + \varphi_{n-2})})$. Note that the denominator Δ_0 in (2.15) is quadratic in ψ_a, χ_a , i.e., similar to $A_n(p=1)$ IM (1.1), but with an extra pair of fields ψ_n, χ_n and an additional chiral local $U(1)$ gauge symmetry:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\psi}'_1 &= e^{-\beta w} \bar{\psi}_1, & \bar{\chi}'_1 &= e^{-\beta \bar{w}} \bar{\chi}_1, & \bar{\psi}'_n &= e^{\beta w} \bar{\psi}_n, & \bar{\chi}'_n &= e^{\beta \bar{w}} \bar{\chi}_n, \\ \bar{R}' &= \bar{R} + w + \bar{w}, & \varphi'_l &= \varphi_l. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, in order to derive the action for the completely gauged IM (1.2) we substitute $A_0 = a_{01} \lambda_1 \cdot H + a_{0n} \lambda_n \cdot H$, $\bar{A}_0 = \bar{a}_{01} \lambda_1 \cdot H + \bar{a}_{0n} \lambda_n \cdot H$ in eqn. (2.6) with the upper signs (axial gauging) and we take g_0^f in the form

$$g_0^f = e^{(\chi_1 E_{-\alpha_1}^{(0)} + \chi_n E_{-\alpha_n}^{(0)})} e^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \varphi_i h_{i+1}^{(0)}} e^{(\psi_1 E_{\alpha_1}^{(0)} + \psi_n E_{\alpha_n}^{(0)})} \quad (2.16)$$

where $\psi_a = \bar{\psi}_a e^{\frac{1}{2} R_a}$, $\chi_a = \bar{\chi}_a e^{\frac{1}{2} R_a}$. Following the procedure described above (i.e. integrating over $A_0, \bar{A}_0$, etc), we obtain the effective Lagrangian given by eqn. (1.2) (see ref. [20] for details).

It is worthwhile to mention that the conceptual difference between the completely gauged IM (1.2) and the ungauged (2.12) and the intermediate models (2.15) is that the action (1.2) has no local symmetries. Instead it has two global symmetries described by transformations (2.32).

Similarly to the axial and vector $A_n^{(1)}(p=1)$ dyonic IM [7], [8], applying the method developed in ref. [17] one can derive the CPT-invariant vector model (1.2) starting from eqns. (2.6) with lower signs (-). One can also consider the mixed case by axial gauging one $U(1)$ and vector gauging the other, but this topic is out of the purpose of the present paper.

2.3 Leznov-Saveliev equations and the Zero Curvature Representation

The equations of motion for the models (1.2), (2.12) and (2.15) can be written in the following matrix Leznov-Saveliev form [6]

$$\bar{\partial}(g_0^{-1} \partial g_0) + [\epsilon_-, g_0^{-1} \epsilon_+ g_0] = 0, \quad \partial(\bar{\partial} g_0 g_0^{-1}) - [\epsilon_+, g_0 \epsilon_- g_0^{-1}] = 0 \quad (2.17)$$

The local $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ symmetries (2.13) reflect the fact that the traces of the above equations with $\lambda_a \cdot H$ vanish, i.e., $\bar{\partial} J_{\lambda_a \cdot H^{(0)}} = \partial \bar{J}_{\lambda_a \cdot H^{(0)}} = 0$, where

$$J_{\lambda_a \cdot H^{(0)}} = Tr(g_0^{-1} \partial g_0 \lambda_a \cdot H^{(0)}), \quad \bar{J}_{\lambda_a \cdot H^{(0)}} = Tr(\bar{\partial} g_0 g_0^{-1} \lambda_a \cdot H^{(0)}), \quad a = 1, n \quad (2.18)$$

due to the $\mathcal{G}_0^0$ property that $[\lambda_a \cdot H, \epsilon_{\pm}] = 0, a = 1, n$. The axial (vector) gauge fixing of these $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ symmetries is known to be equivalent to implementing the constraints

$J_{\lambda_a \cdot H^{(0)}} = \bar{J}_{\lambda_a \cdot H^{(0)}} = 0$, i.e., to the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}
n\partial R_1(1 + \beta^2 \frac{n+1}{2n} \psi_1 \chi_1 e^{-\beta\varphi_1}) + \partial R_n &= (n+1)\psi_1 \partial \chi_1 e^{-\beta\varphi_1}, \\
\partial R_1 + n\partial R_n(1 + \beta^2 \frac{n+1}{2n} \psi_n \chi_n e^{-\beta\varphi_{n-2}}) &= (n+1)\psi_n \partial \chi_n e^{-\beta\varphi_{n-2}} \\
n\bar{\partial} R_1(1 + \beta^2 \frac{n+1}{2n} \psi_1 \chi_1 e^{-\beta\varphi_1}) + \bar{\partial} R_n &= (n+1)\chi_1 \bar{\partial} \psi_1 e^{-\beta\varphi_1}, \\
\bar{\partial} R_1 + n\bar{\partial} R_n(1 + \beta^2 \frac{n+1}{2n} \psi_n \chi_n e^{-\beta\varphi_{n-2}}) &= (n+1)\chi_n \bar{\partial} \psi_n e^{-\beta\varphi_{n-2}}
\end{aligned}$$

The diagonalization of the above system leads to the definition of the auxiliary *nonlocal* fields $R_a, a = 1, n$ which are going to play an important role in the construction of the 1-soliton solutions in the next sections,

$$\begin{aligned}
(n-1)\Delta\partial R_1 &= n\beta\psi_1\partial\chi_1(1 + \beta^2 \frac{n+1}{2n} \psi_n \chi_n e^{-\beta\varphi_{n-2}})e^{-\beta\varphi_1} - \beta\psi_n\partial\chi_n e^{-\beta\varphi_{n-2}} \\
(n-1)\Delta\partial R_n &= n\beta\psi_n\partial\chi_n(1 + \beta^2 \frac{n+1}{2n} \psi_1 \chi_1 e^{-\beta\varphi_1})e^{-\beta\varphi_{n-2}} - \beta\psi_1\partial\chi_1 e^{-\beta\varphi_1} \\
(n-1)\Delta\bar{\partial} R_1 &= n\beta\chi_1\bar{\partial}\psi_1(1 + \beta^2 \frac{n+1}{2n} \psi_n \chi_n e^{-\beta\varphi_{n-2}})e^{-\beta\varphi_1} - \beta\chi_n\bar{\partial}\psi_n e^{-\beta\varphi_{n-2}} \\
(n-1)\Delta\bar{\partial} R_n &= n\beta\chi_n\bar{\partial}\psi_n(1 + \beta^2 \frac{n+1}{2n} \psi_1 \chi_1 e^{-\beta\varphi_1})e^{-\beta\varphi_{n-2}} - \beta\chi_1\bar{\partial}\psi_1 e^{-\beta\varphi_1} \quad (2.19)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Delta = 1 + \frac{n\beta^2}{2(n-1)} (\psi_1 \chi_1 e^{-\beta\varphi_1} + \psi_n \chi_n e^{-\beta\varphi_{n-2}}) + \frac{(n+1)\beta^4}{4(n-1)} \psi_1 \chi_1 \psi_n \chi_n e^{-\beta(\varphi_1 + \varphi_{n-2})}$$

and $\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_j = \frac{n+1-j}{n+1}$, $\lambda_n \cdot \lambda_j = \frac{j}{n+1}$, $j = 1, \dots, n-1$.

The zero curvature representation

$$\partial \bar{\mathcal{A}} - \bar{\partial} \mathcal{A} - [\mathcal{A}, \bar{\mathcal{A}}] = 0, \quad (2.20)$$

of the $A_n^{(1)}(p=2)$ equations (2.17) is known to be the crucial ingredient in the proof of the classical integrability of the model. As it is not difficult to check the pure gauge potentials $\mathcal{A}, \bar{\mathcal{A}}$, has the standard Leznov-Saveliev form [6],

$$\mathcal{A} = -g_0 \epsilon_- g_0^{-1}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{A}} = \epsilon_+ + \bar{\partial} g_0 g_0^{-1} \quad (2.21)$$

common for a large class of grades $|q| = 1$, (i.e. $q(\epsilon_{\pm}) = \pm 1$, where $[Q, \epsilon_{\pm}] = q(\epsilon_{\pm})\epsilon_{\pm}$) singular (or nonsingular) affine NA-Toda IM. As in the case of $A_n^{(1)}(p=1)$ dyonic models [25] one can complete the proof of the integrability by deriving the explicit form of the classical R -matrix and the infinite set of conservation laws in involution. The calculation is quite strightforward and standard for all affine abelian and NA Toda models.

2.4 Vacua Structure and Symmetries

The existence of soliton solutions for the $A_n(p=2)$ IM (1.2) is known to be intrinsically connected to the following three related objects:

- distinct zeros of the potential $V_n^{p=2} = \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left(Tr(\epsilon_+ g_0 \epsilon_- g_0^{-1}) - \mu^2(n-1) \right)$
- set of nontrivial constant solutions of its equation of motion
- the existence of few different boundary conditions (i.e. admissible asymptotic values at $x = \pm\infty$) for the fields $\varphi_l, \psi_a, \chi_a, R_a$.

The very origin of the nontrivial vacua structure is hidden within the discrete symmetries of (1.2) and in the corresponding topological charges. In our case, for imaginary $\beta = i\beta_0$, the action (1.2) is invariant under the following discrete group of transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'_l &= \varphi_l + \left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta_0} \right) \frac{lN}{n-1}, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, n-2, \\ \psi'_1 &= w^{\frac{1}{2}(N+(n-1)s_1)} \psi_1, \quad \psi'_n = w^{-\frac{1}{2}(N-(n-1)s_n)} \psi_n \\ \chi'_1 &= w^{\frac{1}{2}(N+(n-1)\tilde{s}_1)} \chi_1, \quad \chi'_n = w^{-\frac{1}{2}(N-(n-1)\tilde{s}_n)} \chi_n \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

where $w = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n-1}}$ and N is an arbitrary integer (defining different Z_{n-1} vacua sectors) and $s_a, \tilde{s}_a$ are two pairs of even (or odd) integers (i.e., $s_a + \tilde{s}_a = 2S'_a$, $s_a - \tilde{s}_a = 2L'_a$ and $S'_a, L'_a \in \mathbb{Z}$). The action (1.2) is also invariant under CP (but not CPT) transformation,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi''_l &= \varphi_l, \quad \psi''_a = \chi_a, \quad \chi''_a = \psi_a \\ Px &= -x, \quad P\partial = \bar{\partial} \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

It is convenient to introduce the following new parametrization for the field variables ψ_a, χ_a ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1 &= \frac{1}{\beta_0} e^{i\beta_0(\frac{1}{2}\varphi_1 - \theta_1)} \sinh(\beta_0 \mathcal{R}_1), \quad \chi_1 = \frac{1}{\beta_0} e^{i\beta_0(\frac{1}{2}\varphi_1 + \theta_1)} \sinh(\beta_0 \mathcal{R}_1), \\ \psi_n &= \frac{1}{\beta_0} e^{i\beta_0(\frac{1}{2}\varphi_{n-2} - \theta_n)} \sinh(\beta_0 \mathcal{R}_n), \quad \chi_n = \frac{1}{\beta_0} e^{i\beta_0(\frac{1}{2}\varphi_{n-2} + \theta_n)} \sinh(\beta_0 \mathcal{R}_n) \end{aligned}$$

As we shall see the angular variables $\theta_a, a = 1, n$ make transparent the origin of the topological charges Q_θ^a . They also play an important role in the discussion of T-duality transformations at the end of this subsection. The transformations (2.22) and (2.23) in this parametrization acquires the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}'_a &= \mathcal{R}_a, \quad \theta'_a = \theta_a + \frac{\pi}{\beta_0} L'_a, \\ \mathcal{R}''_a &= \mathcal{R}_a, \quad \theta''_a = -\theta_a + \frac{2\pi}{\beta_0} L''_a \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

with L'_a, L''_a arbitrary integers. Combining the θ'_a and θ''_a transformations we find

$$\tilde{\theta}_a = -\frac{i}{2\beta_0} \ln \left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}_a}{\tilde{\psi}_a} \right) = \theta_a + \frac{\pi L_a}{2\beta_0}, \quad L_a = 2L''_a - L'_a \quad (2.25)$$

The conclusion is that together with the trivial vacua: $\varphi_l = 0, \mathcal{R}_a = 0, \theta_a = 0$, (i.e., $N = L_a = 0$) our model (1.2) possess an infinite set of distinct vacua given by

$$\varphi_l^{(N)} = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta_0} \right) \frac{lN}{n-1}, \quad \mathcal{R}_a^{(0)} = 0, \quad \theta_a^{(L)} = \frac{\pi L}{2\beta_0} \quad (2.26)$$

defining its vacua lattice $(\varphi_l^{(N)}, \theta_a^{(L)})$. Due to the $Z_{n-1} \otimes Z_2$ symmetry,

a) Z_{n-1} :

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\beta\varphi_l} &\rightarrow w^{lN} e^{\beta\varphi_l} \\ \psi_1 &\rightarrow w^{\frac{1}{2}(N+(n-1)s_1)} \psi_1, \quad \psi_n \rightarrow w^{-\frac{1}{2}(N-(n-1)s_n)} \psi_n, \\ \chi_1 &\rightarrow w^{\frac{1}{2}(N+(n-1)\tilde{s}_1)} \chi_1, \quad \chi_n \rightarrow w^{-\frac{1}{2}(N-(n-1)\tilde{s}_n)} \chi_n, \end{aligned}$$

b) Z_2 : $\psi_a \rightarrow \chi_a, \quad \chi_a \rightarrow \psi_a$

we have a finite set of allowed boundary conditions (at $x = \pm\infty$):

$$\varphi_l^{(N)}(\pm\infty) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta_0} \right) \frac{lN_{\pm}}{n-1}, \quad \mathcal{R}_a(\pm\infty) = 0, \quad \theta_a^{(L)}(\pm\infty) = \frac{\pi L_a^{\pm}}{2\beta_0} \quad (2.27)$$

(i.e. $\psi_a(\pm\infty) = \chi_a(\pm\infty) = 0$, but $\psi_a/\chi_a(\pm\infty) \neq 0$). One therefore expects the existence of finite energy topological solitons, interpolating between different vacua $(N_{\pm}, L_a^{(\pm)})$. The corresponding topological charges

$$j_{\varphi} = N_+ - N_- = 0, 1, \dots, n-2 \bmod (n-1), \quad j_{\theta}^a = L_a^+ - L_a^- = 0, 1, \dots \bmod 2$$

are represented by the following topological currents

$$J_l^{\mu} = \frac{2(n-1)}{\beta_0} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu} \varphi_l, \quad Q_l^{top} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} J_l^0 dx = lQ_{mag}, \quad Q_{mag} = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0^2} j_{\varphi} \quad (2.28)$$

and

$$J_{\theta}^{\mu,a} = \beta_0 \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu} \theta_a, \quad Q_{\theta}^a = \int J_{\theta}^{0,a} dx = \frac{\pi j_{\theta}^a}{2} \quad (2.29)$$

(for our $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ -charged topological 1-solitons (3.14), (3.18), $j_{\varphi} = \pm 1, j_{\theta}^a = 0$).

The transformations (2.22) and (2.23) that allowed us to determine the vacua lattice $(\varphi_l^{(N)}, \theta_a^{(L)})$ of our model, does not exhaust all the discrete symmetries of the $A_n^{(1)}(p=2)$ dyonic IM (1.2). There exist two other Z_2 discrete groups leaving the action (1.2) invariant,

1. Z_2 -reflections

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_l''' &= \varphi_{n-l-1}, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, n-2 \\ \psi_1''' &= \psi_n, \quad \psi_n''' = \psi_1, \quad \chi_1''' = \chi_n, \quad \chi_n''' = \chi_1 \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

2. Composed Weyl transformation ΠP :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_0 &= \Pi(g_0^{-1}), \quad \Pi(\epsilon_+) = \epsilon_-, \quad \Pi^2 = 1, \\ \Pi(E_{\alpha_a}) &= E_{\Pi(\alpha_a)} = E_{\alpha_a}, \quad a = 1, n, \quad P\partial = \bar{\partial} \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

The $Z_2 \otimes Z_2$ symmetries (2.30) and (2.31) impose certain equivalence relations on the vacua lattice $(\varphi_l^{(N)}, \theta_a^{(L)}) \in Z_{n-1} \otimes Z_2$. As a result the irreducible vacua lies in the coset $Z_{n-1} \otimes Z_2 / Z_2 \otimes Z_2$, i.e. (2.27) with the identifications (2.30) and (2.31). As we shall see in the next Sect. 3 the Z_2 symmetry (2.30) is crucial in the derivation of the first order soliton equations (3.3) and (3.4). The Z_2 transformation Π can be realized as certain compositions of A_{n-2} -Weyl group transformations similar to the constructions of Sect. 2.6 of ref. [7]. It is the analog of the S_n symmetries of the abelian A_n Toda model.

An important new feature of the dyonic IM (1.2) is that, together with the above discrete symmetries, it manifest the following continuous symmetries

$$\psi'_a = e^{i\beta_0^2 \epsilon_a} \psi_a, \quad \chi'_a = e^{-i\beta_0^2 \epsilon_a} \chi_a, \quad \varphi'_l = \varphi_l \quad (2.32)$$

with ϵ_a - the arbitrary real parameters of the global $U(1) \otimes U(1)$. Taking into account the definition (2.19) of the nonlocal fields R_a , the corresponding (Noether) “electric” currents (derived from (1.2)) can be written in the following simple form

$$J_1^{\mu,el} = \frac{2\beta_0}{n+1} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu (nR_1 + R_n), \quad J_n^{\mu,el} = \frac{2\beta_0}{n+1} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu (R_1 + nR_n) \quad (2.33)$$

It therefore follows that the topological soliton with non trivial asymptotics of the fields R_a , i.e. $R_a(+\infty) \neq R_a(-\infty)$, will carry electric charges given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1^{el} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_1^{0,el} dx = \frac{2\beta_0}{n+1} (n(R_1(\infty) - R_1(-\infty)) + R_n(\infty) - R_n(-\infty)), \\ Q_n^{el} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_n^{0,el} dx = \frac{2\beta_0}{n+1} (R_1(\infty) - R_1(-\infty) + n(R_n(\infty) - R_n(-\infty))) \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

As it is shown in Sect. 3. together with the neutral $(0,0)$, we have $(0, Q_n)$ and $(Q_1, 0)$ and (Q_1, Q_n) charged topological solitons.

It is worthwhile to mention the geometrical (target space σ -model) meaning of the $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ -symmetry as well as the T-duality $O(2, 2|Z)$ transformations behind it. Writing (1.2) in symbolic (σ -model) form,

$$\mathcal{L} = (g_{MN}(\rho^L) \eta^{\mu\nu} + b_{MN}(\rho^L) \epsilon^{\mu\nu}) \partial_\mu \rho^M \partial_\nu \rho^N - V(\rho^L) \quad (2.35)$$

where $\rho^L = \{\varphi_l, \mathcal{R}_a, \theta_a\}$ and noting that the $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ transformation (2.32) acts as θ_a translations, $\theta_a \rightarrow \theta_a + \beta_0^2 \epsilon_a$, we conclude that the target space geometry (described by the metric g_{MN} , antisymmetric tensor b_{MN} and by the tachyon potential $V(\rho^L)$) has two *isometries*, i.e., g_{MN}, b_{MN} and $V(\rho^L)$ are θ_a -independent. This is an indication that the target space geometry encoded in the kinetic part of (1.2) allows two equivalent T-dual descriptions. As in the case of $U(1)$ dyonic model (1.1) (see refs. [7], [17]) one can introduce together with the axial model (1.2) its T-dual vector model described by $\mathcal{L}_{vec}(\tilde{\varphi}_l, \tilde{\theta}_a, \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_a)$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{vec} = \mathcal{L}_{axial} + \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{dt} \quad (2.36)$$

where

$$\frac{d\mathcal{F}}{dt} = \frac{1}{4(n+1)\beta} \sum_{a=1,n} (\partial \tilde{\theta}_a \bar{\partial} \theta_a - \bar{\partial} \tilde{\theta}_a \partial \theta_a)$$

The T-duality transformations with the above generating function $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\theta}_a, \theta_a)$ are known to act as the following *canonical transformation* $(\Pi_{\theta_a}, \theta_a)_{axial} \rightarrow (\tilde{\Pi}_{\tilde{\theta}_a}, \tilde{\theta}_a)_{vector}$ such that

$$\tilde{\Pi}_{\tilde{\theta}_a} = -\partial_x \theta_a, \quad \Pi_{\theta_a} = -\partial_x \tilde{\theta}_a, \quad (2.37)$$

and certain point transformations $\tilde{\varphi}_l = f_l(\varphi_l, R_a)$ of the “non-isometric” coordinates (see refs. [7], [17] for more details). The relation (2.36) between $\mathcal{L}_{ax}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{vec}$ is a simple consequence of the fact that both Hamiltonians are in fact equal, i.e., $\mathcal{H}_{ax} = \mathcal{H}_{vec}$. An important feature of the abelian T-duality (2.36) and (2.37) is that it maps the $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ charges $Q_a^{el, axial}$ (given by eqn. (2.34)) into the vector model analog $Q_{\tilde{\theta}}^{a, vector}$ of the topological charges $Q_{\theta}^{a, axial}$ (given by eqn. (2.29)). Since we have that (see Sect. 3 of ref. [17])

$$\begin{aligned} J_a^{\mu, el}(ax) &= e_{aN}(\rho^L) \partial_\mu \rho^N = \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu \tilde{\theta}_a, \\ e_{aN}(\rho^L) &= g_{aN}(\rho^L) + b_{aN}(\rho^L), \quad \rho^L = \{\varphi_l, \mathcal{R}_a; \theta_a\}, \quad \rho^a \equiv \theta^a, \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

comparing with eqns. (2.33) we conclude that the isometric coordinates $\tilde{\theta}_a$ of the vector model can be written as a linear combination of the axial model nonlocal fields R_a ,

$$\tilde{\theta}_1 = \frac{2\beta}{n+1}(nR_1 + R_n), \quad \tilde{\theta}_n = \frac{2\beta}{n+1}(R_1 + nR_n) \quad (2.39)$$

and $\tilde{Q}_{\tilde{\theta}}^{a, vec} = \int dx \partial_x \tilde{\theta}_a$, which makes the form (2.36) of the generating function $\mathcal{F}$ explicit. The T-dual transformations of electric $Q_a^{el, ax}$ and θ_a -topological charges $Q_{\theta}^{a, ax}$ are given by the following interchange rule,

$$(Q_a^{el, ax}, Q_{\theta}^{a, ax}) \Leftrightarrow (\tilde{Q}_{\tilde{\theta}}^{a, vec}, \tilde{Q}_a^{el, vec})$$

2.5 Dyonic Effect of the Topological θ -terms

One of the main properties of the soliton spectrum of the dyonic IM (1.1) (with one global $U(1)$ symmetry) is known to be its intrinsic *dyonic structure* [7]. Similarly to the electric and magnetic charges of dyons in 4-d $SU(n+1)$ -Yang-Mills-Higgs model [26], the electric charge $Q^{el}(p=1)$ of the $U(1)$ charged topological solitons acquires contributions from its topological (i.e. magnetic) charge $Q_{mag}(p=1)$ (see eqn. (1.5) of ref. [7]):

$$Q_{el}^{ax}(p=1) = \beta_0^2(j_{el}^{p=1} + \frac{\nu}{2\pi}j_{\varphi}^{p=1}), \quad Q_{mag}(p=1) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0^2}j_{\varphi}^{p=1}, \quad j_{\varphi}^{p=1} = 0, \pm 1, \dots \pm (n-1) \quad (2.40)$$

where ν is an arbitrary real parameter. The origin of such dyonic effect comes from the topological (total derivative) “ θ -term” (an analog of the corresponding four dimensional topological θ -term [26])

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{ax}^{top}(p=1) = \frac{\beta}{8\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \nu_k \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \varphi_k \partial_\nu \ln\left(\frac{\chi}{\psi}\right) \quad (2.41)$$

Since by construction the dyonic model $A_n^{(1)}(p=2)$ (1.2) with $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ global symmetry is an appropriate generalization of the model $A_n(p=1)$ (1.1) it is natural to expect that similar dyonic effects to take place. In this case one can introduce the following two kinds of topological terms:

1. Straightforwad generalization of the $U(1)$ term (2.41) to the $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ case

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_\varphi^{top}(p=2) = \frac{\beta}{8\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \sum_{a=1,n} \nu_k^{(a)} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \varphi_k \partial_\nu \ln\left(\frac{\chi_a}{\psi_a}\right) \quad (2.42)$$

2. New kind of θ_a mixed term

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_{\theta_a}^{top}(p=2) = \frac{\nu_\theta}{4\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \ln\left(\frac{\chi_n}{\psi_n}\right) \partial_\nu \ln\left(\frac{\chi_1}{\psi_1}\right) \quad (2.43)$$

where $\nu_k^{(a)}$, and ν_θ are arbitrary real constants. Adding the “ θ -terms” (2.42) and (2.43) to the original Lagrangian (1.2), i.e. considering

$$\mathcal{L}_{impr}(p=2) = \mathcal{L}(p=2) + \delta\mathcal{L}_\varphi^{top} + \delta\mathcal{L}_{\theta_a}^{top} \quad (2.44)$$

leads to the following improvements (shifts) of the corresponding electric currents

$$\begin{aligned} J_{1,impr}^{\mu,el}(p=2) &= \frac{2\beta_0}{n+1} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \left(nR_1 + R_n - \frac{\beta_0^2(n+1)}{8\pi^2} \sum_{l=1}^{n-2} \nu_l^{(1)} \varphi_l - \frac{\beta_0(n+1)}{8\pi^2} \nu_\theta \ln \frac{\chi_n}{\psi_n} \right), \\ J_{n,impr}^{\mu,el}(p=2) &= \frac{2\beta_0}{n+1} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \left(R_1 + nR_n - \frac{\beta_0^2(n+1)}{8\pi^2} \sum_{l=1}^{n-2} \nu_l^{(n)} \varphi_l + \frac{\beta_0(n+1)}{8\pi^2} \nu_\theta \ln \frac{\chi_1}{\psi_1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.45)$$

The corresponding new improved electric charges take the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_{1,impr}^{0,el} dx &= Q_1^{el} - \frac{\beta_0^2}{2\pi} \left(j_\varphi \nu^{(1)} + \nu_\theta \frac{j_\theta^{(1)}}{2} \right), \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_{n,impr}^{0,el} dx &= Q_n^{el} - \frac{\beta_0^2}{2\pi} \left(j_\varphi \nu^{(n)} - \nu_\theta \frac{j_\theta^{(n)}}{2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.46)$$

where $\nu^{(a)} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-2} l \nu_l^{(a)}$. For $\nu_\theta = 0$, i.e. without the second topological term, one recover the well known simple effect that the electric charges acquires contribution from the magnetic (j_φ -topological) charge $Q_{mag} = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0^2} j_\varphi$. The *new dyonic* effect for $\nu_\theta \neq 0$ is specific for the $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ case (1.2) (improved as eq. (2.44)) and it consists in the fact that the electric charges $Q_{a,impr}^{el}$ gets shifted by the topological charges $j_\theta^{(a)}$ as well.

An interesting physical interpretation of the parametres ν_l^a, ν_θ as external constant magnetic fields $F_{MN}(\rho)$

$$F_{\theta_a, l} = \left(\frac{\beta}{4\pi}\right)^2 \nu_l^{(a)}, \quad F_{\theta_1, \theta_2} = \left(\frac{\beta}{4\pi}\right)^2 \nu_\theta \quad (2.47)$$

(all other components vanish) comes from the following paralel with the open string (in curved background g_{MN}, b_{MN}) with two compactified directions say, $X_{25} = \frac{1}{2\beta} \ln\left(\frac{\psi_1}{\chi_1}\right)$, $X_1 = \frac{1}{2\beta} \ln\left(\frac{\psi_n}{\chi_n}\right)$ and boundary (Chan-Paton) term included (as in Sect. 8.6 of [27]). The string

momenta $P_1 = Q_n^{el}$ and $P_{25} = Q_1^{el}$ get contributions from the boundary (Wilson line) term $\delta S_{strong} = i \oint \sum A_a(X) dX_a$, $X_a = \theta_a$, $X_i = \{\varphi_l, \mathcal{R}_a, \theta_a\}$. It is important to note that for our 1-soliton solutions (3.17) the string coordinates

$$\theta_a = -\frac{i}{2\beta_0} \ln\left(\frac{\chi_a}{\psi_a}\right) \rightarrow (X_1, X_{25})$$

are indeed periodic (in ρ_- worldsheet coordinate) with periods $T_a = \frac{2\pi}{w_a}$

$$w_1 = \mu \sin \alpha, \quad w_n = -\mu \sin \alpha.$$

Hence the paralel with open string with two compactified dimensions is not accidental. Following such string analogy, the topological θ -terms (2.42) and (2.43) can be rewritten as Wilson line contributions for certain background gauge fields $A_\mu(X_\mu)$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S_{top} &= \int d^2z \left(\delta \mathcal{L}_{top}^\varphi + \delta \mathcal{L}_{top}^{\theta_a} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\beta}{4\pi} \right)^2 \oint dt \left(\sum_{a=1,n} \nu_l^a \varphi_l \partial_t \theta_a + \frac{1}{2} \nu_\theta (\theta_1 \partial_t \theta_n - \theta_n \partial_t \theta_1) \right) \\ &= \sum \oint d\theta^a A_{\theta_a}(X_M) \end{aligned} \tag{2.48}$$

where we have denoted by A_{θ_a} the following terms

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\theta^1} &= \left(\frac{\beta}{4\pi} \right)^2 \left(\sum_{l=1}^{n-2} \nu_l^{(1)} \varphi_l - \frac{1}{2} \nu_\theta \theta_n \right) \\ A_{\theta^n} &= \left(\frac{\beta}{4\pi} \right)^2 \left(\sum_{l=1}^{n-2} \nu_l^{(n)} \varphi_l + \frac{1}{2} \nu_\theta \theta_1 \right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

and all other A_M for $M \neq a$ vanish. Therefore the magnetic fields $F_{MN} = \partial_M A_N - \partial_N A_M$ for our $U(1)$ gauge potential (2.49) are given by eq. (2.47).

3 Multicharged Topological Solitons

3.1 Soliton equations

The well known relation between dressing and Bäcklund transformation [28] provides a simple derivation of 1-soliton equations for a large class of grade one affine NA-Toda models. Arguments similar to those used for the $A_n^{(1)}(p=1)$ dyonic IM [7], lead us to the following compact form of 1^{st} -order soliton differential equations (DE)

$$g_0^{-1} \partial g_0 X - [g_0^{-1} Y g_{0,vac}, \epsilon_-] = 0, \quad \bar{\partial} g_0 g_0^{-1} Y - [g_0 X g_{0,vac}^{-1}, \epsilon_+] = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

$$[X, \epsilon_-] = [Y, \epsilon_+] = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

imposed together with the image of the system (3.1) under Z_2 discrete transformations (2.30). The constant elements $X(\lambda, a_i), Y(\lambda, b_i)$ of the universal enveloping algebra are realized as

$$\begin{aligned} X &= X_{01}I + X_{02}\lambda_1 \cdot H + X_{03}\lambda_n \cdot H + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} a_k(\epsilon_-)^k, \\ Y &= Y_{01}I + Y_{02}\lambda_1 \cdot H + Y_{03}\lambda_n \cdot H + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} b_k(\epsilon_+)^k \end{aligned}$$

where $X_{0i}(\lambda), Y_{0i}(\lambda), a_k(\lambda)$ and $b_k(\lambda)$ are arbitrary functions of the spectral parameter λ to be determined and $g_{0,vac} = e^{i\beta_0(c_1\lambda_1 \cdot H + c_n\lambda_n \cdot H)}$ is the constant vacuum solution of the L-S eqns. (2.17). The verification of the fact that second order DE (2.17) are indeed the integrability conditions for the first order DE (3.1) (under conditions (3.2)) is quite straightforward. We should mention that the consistency of eqns. (3.1) with their Z_2 image requires certain algebraic relations (see eqns. (3.4) below) specific for the soliton equations for a large class of affine NA-Toda models [7]. With the parametrization of g_0^f given by eqs. (2.16) at hand and the subsidiary constraints (2.19) for the nonlocal fields R_a we derive the following explicit system of 1st order DE,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\Phi_p &= \frac{\mu\gamma}{\beta} \left(e^{-\beta\Phi_p} - e^{-\beta\Phi_{p-1}} + \beta^2(\tilde{\psi}_1\tilde{\chi}_1 - \tilde{\psi}_n\tilde{\chi}_n)\delta_{p,1} \right), \\ \bar{\partial}\Phi_p &= \frac{\mu}{\beta\gamma} \left(e^{\beta\Phi_p} - e^{\beta\Phi_{p+1}} - \beta^2(\tilde{\psi}_1\tilde{\chi}_1 - \tilde{\psi}_n\tilde{\chi}_n)\delta_{p,n-1} \right), \\ p &= 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \quad \Phi_0 = \Phi_{n-1}, \quad \Phi_1 = \Phi_n \\ \partial\tilde{\psi}_1 &= -\mu\gamma\tilde{\psi}_1 e^{-\beta\Phi_{n-1}} \left(1 + \beta^2\tilde{\psi}_n\tilde{\chi}_n e^{\beta\Phi_{n-1}} - \frac{\beta^2}{2}\tilde{\psi}_1\tilde{\chi}_1 e^{\beta\Phi_{n-1}} \right), \\ \partial\tilde{\psi}_n &= -\mu\gamma\tilde{\psi}_n e^{\beta\Phi_1} \left(1 + \beta^2\tilde{\psi}_1\tilde{\chi}_1 e^{-\beta\Phi_1} - \frac{\beta^2}{2}\tilde{\psi}_n\tilde{\chi}_n e^{-\beta\Phi_1} \right), \\ \partial\tilde{\chi}_1 &= -\mu\gamma\tilde{\chi}_1 e^{\beta\Phi_1} \left(1 + \frac{\beta^2}{2}\tilde{\psi}_1\tilde{\chi}_1 e^{-\beta\Phi_1} \right), \\ \partial\tilde{\chi}_n &= -\mu\gamma\tilde{\chi}_n e^{-\beta\Phi_{n-1}} \left(1 + \frac{\beta^2}{2}\tilde{\psi}_n\tilde{\chi}_n e^{\beta\Phi_{n-1}} \right), \\ \bar{\partial}\tilde{\psi}_1 &= \frac{\mu}{\gamma}\tilde{\psi}_1 e^{\beta\Phi_1} \left(1 + \frac{\beta^2}{2}\tilde{\psi}_1\tilde{\chi}_1 e^{-\beta\Phi_1} \right), \\ \bar{\partial}\tilde{\psi}_n &= \frac{\mu}{\gamma}\tilde{\psi}_n e^{-\beta\Phi_{n-1}} \left(1 + \frac{\beta^2}{2}\tilde{\psi}_n\tilde{\chi}_n e^{\beta\Phi_{n-1}} \right), \\ \bar{\partial}\tilde{\chi}_1 &= \frac{\mu}{\gamma}\tilde{\chi}_1 e^{-\beta\Phi_{n-1}} \left(1 + \beta^2\tilde{\psi}_n\tilde{\chi}_n e^{\beta\Phi_{n-1}} - \frac{\beta^2}{2}\tilde{\psi}_n\tilde{\chi}_n e^{\beta\Phi_{n-1}} \right), \\ \bar{\partial}\tilde{\chi}_n &= \frac{\mu}{\gamma}\tilde{\chi}_n e^{\beta\Phi_1} \left(1 + \beta^2\tilde{\psi}_1\tilde{\chi}_1 e^{-\beta\Phi_1} - \frac{\beta^2}{2}\tilde{\psi}_n\tilde{\chi}_n e^{-\beta\Phi_1} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where γ is the Bäcklund transformation parameter,

$$\gamma = \frac{\lambda b_2}{a_2} = \frac{e^{c_1-c_n}(Y_{01} - Y_{02})}{a_1} = \frac{b_1 e^{c_1-c_n}}{X_{01} - X_{02}} = \dots = e^{-b}$$

Another consequence of eqns. (3.1) is the following chain of algebraic relations,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\beta\Phi_1} - e^{\beta\Phi_2} &= e^{-\beta\Phi_2} - e^{\beta\Phi_3} = \dots = e^{-\beta\Phi_{n-2}} - e^{\beta\Phi_{n-3}} \\ &= e^{-\beta\Phi_{n-1}} - e^{\beta\Phi_1} + \beta^2(\tilde{\psi}_n\tilde{\chi}_n - \tilde{\psi}_1\tilde{\chi}_1) \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

which should be satisfied together with the first order DE (3.3). The new variables $\Phi_p, \tilde{\psi}_a$ and $\tilde{\chi}_a$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_p &= \varphi_p - \varphi_{p-1} - \frac{1}{n+1}R, \quad R = R_1 - R_n, \quad \varphi_0 = \varphi_{n-1} = 0, \\ \Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + \dots + \Phi_{n-1} &= -\frac{n-1}{n+1}R, \quad \varphi_p = \frac{p}{n+1}R + \sum_{k=1}^p \Phi_k, \\ \tilde{\psi}_n &= \psi_n e^{\frac{R}{2(n+1)}}, \quad \tilde{\chi}_n = \chi_n e^{\frac{R}{2(n+1)}}, \quad \tilde{\psi}_1 = \psi_1 e^{-\frac{R}{2(n+1)}}, \quad \tilde{\chi}_1 = \chi_1 e^{-\frac{R}{2(n+1)}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

introduced in (3.3) have the advantage to simplify the construction of the solutions (1-soliton) of eqn. (3.3). The nonlocal fields R_a , $a = 1, n$ satisfy the following set of 1st-order DE,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial R_1 &= \frac{\mu\gamma\beta}{(n-1)} (\tilde{\psi}_n\tilde{\chi}_n - n\tilde{\psi}_1\tilde{\chi}_1) \\ \partial R_n &= \frac{\mu\gamma\beta}{(n-1)} (\tilde{\psi}_1\tilde{\chi}_1 - n\tilde{\psi}_n\tilde{\chi}_n) \\ \bar{\partial} R_1 &= \frac{\beta\mu}{\gamma(n-1)} (n\tilde{\psi}_1\tilde{\chi}_1 - \tilde{\psi}_n\tilde{\chi}_n) \\ \bar{\partial} R_n &= \frac{\beta\mu}{\gamma(n-1)} (n\tilde{\psi}_n\tilde{\chi}_n - \tilde{\psi}_1\tilde{\chi}_1) \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

as a consequence of their defining eqns (2.19).

We next derive few consequences of the system (3.3), (3.4) and (3.6) representing the following *solitonic conservation laws*,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial} (\gamma e^{-\beta\Phi_p}) + \partial \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} e^{\beta\Phi_{p+1}} \right) &= 0 \\ (\gamma\bar{\partial} + \frac{1}{\gamma}\partial) e^{\pm\beta\Phi_p} &= 0, \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \\ (\gamma\bar{\partial} - \frac{1}{\gamma}\partial) \ln\left(\frac{\tilde{\psi}_a}{\tilde{\chi}_a}\right) &= 0, \quad a = 1, n \\ (\gamma\bar{\partial} + \frac{1}{\gamma}\partial) \tilde{\psi}_a\tilde{\chi}_a &= 0, \quad a = 1, n \\ (\gamma\bar{\partial} + \frac{1}{\gamma}\partial) (R_1 \pm R_n) &= 0 \\ \nabla \ln \left(\frac{\tilde{\psi}_1}{\tilde{\chi}_1} e^{\frac{(n-1)}{2(n+1)}R} \right) &= \nabla \ln \left(\frac{\tilde{\psi}_n}{\tilde{\chi}_n} e^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2(n+1)}R} \right), \quad \nabla = \partial, \bar{\partial} \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

They play an important role in the construction of the solutions (1-soliton) of the system (3.3) and (3.4).

3.2 Soliton Solutions

The strategy we employ in solving the complicated 1st order system (3.3) consists in:

1. To derive all first integrals of eqns. (3.3);
2. To diagonalize the system of eqns. (3.3) by using these 1st integrals and the solitonic conservation laws (3.7), i.e. to separate the eqns. for the variables $\Phi_p, \tilde{\psi}_a, \tilde{\chi}_a, R_a$;
3. To solve each one of the corresponding individual 1st order (one variable) equations

The first integrals for the system (3.3) can be easily found by simple manipulations of eqns. (3.3), (3.7). The result is:

$$e^{-\beta\Phi_p} - e^{\beta\Phi_{p+1}} = 2i \sin \alpha = c_0, \quad p = 1, \dots, n-1 \quad (3.8)$$

$$\frac{\tilde{\psi}_n}{\tilde{\chi}_1} e^{-\beta \frac{(n-1)}{2(n+1)} R} = e^{-d_2}, \quad \frac{\tilde{\psi}_1}{\tilde{\chi}_n} e^{\beta \frac{(n-1)}{2(n+1)} R} = e^{d_1}, \quad d_1 + d_2 = 2\delta \quad (3.9)$$

$$e^{\delta + \frac{\beta}{n+1}(nR_1 + R_n)} - e^{-\delta + \frac{\beta}{n+1}(R_1 + nR_n)} = De^\delta \quad (3.10)$$

where c_0, d_1, d_2 and D are arbitrary complex constants. We next substitute eqns. (3.8) and (3.4) in the first two equations of the system (3.3), thus obtaining the desired individual equations for each $\Phi_p, \beta = i\beta_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\rho_+} e^{i\beta_0\Phi_p} &= \mu \left(1 - e^{2i\beta_0\Phi_p} - 2i \sin \alpha e^{i\beta_0\Phi_p} \right) \\ \partial_{\rho_-} e^{i\beta_0\Phi_p} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where we have introduced new variables $\rho_\pm$,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_+ &= x \cosh(b) - t \sinh(b), & \partial_{\rho_+} &= \sinh(b) \partial_t + \cosh(b) \partial_x \\ \rho_- &= t \cosh(b) - x \sinh(b), & \partial_{\rho_-} &= \cosh(b) \partial_t + \sinh(b) \partial_x, \quad b = -\ln \gamma \end{aligned}$$

The solutions of eqns. (3.11) have the following form

$$e^{-i\beta_0\Phi_p} = e^{i\alpha} \frac{S_p e^{-2i\alpha + 2\mu\rho_+ \cos(\alpha)} - 1}{S_p e^{2\mu\rho_+ \cos(\alpha)} + 1} \quad (3.12)$$

Due to eqns. (3.8) and (3.4) the integration constants S_p should satisfy the following recurrence relations

$$S_{p+1} = e^{-2i\alpha \pm i\pi} S_p, \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$$

and therefore we find

$$S_p = (-1)^{p-1} e^{-2i\alpha(p-1)} S_1 = (-1)^{p-n} e^{-2i\alpha(p-n)} X_0$$

where X_0 is an arbitrary constant that determines the center of mass of the soliton. Finally, taking into account the definitions (3.5) of the original fields φ_p and $R = R_1 - R_n$ in terms of Φ_p we find 1-soliton solutions in the form:

$$e^{i\beta_0 \frac{(n-1)}{(n+1)} R} = e^{-i(n-1)(\alpha - \pi \text{Sign}(\alpha))} \frac{e^{-f} + (-1)^{n-1} e^{2i(n-1)\alpha} e^f}{e^{-f} + e^f} \quad (3.13)$$

$$e^{i\beta_0\varphi_l} = \frac{e^{-f} + (-1)^{l-n+1}e^{2i(n-1-l)\alpha}e^f}{(e^{-f} + e^f)^{\frac{l}{n-1}}(e^{-f} + (-1)^{n-1}e^{2i(n-1)\alpha}e^f)^{\frac{n-1-l}{n-1}}}, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, n-2 \quad (3.14)$$

where $f = \mu\rho_+ \cos(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2}\ln X_0$. We next calculate R_1 and R_n from eqns. (3.10) and (3.13),

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\beta_0 R_1} &= \frac{De^{i\frac{n\beta_0}{(n+1)}R}}{e^{i\beta_0\frac{(n-1)}{(n+1)}R} - e^{-2\delta}} = De^{2\delta - i\pi - in(\alpha - \pi \text{Sign}(\alpha))} \frac{(e^{-f} + (-1)^{n-1}e^{2i(n-1)\alpha}e^f)^{\frac{n}{n+1}}}{(e^{-f} + e^f)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}(A_1e^{-f} + A_2e^f)}, \\ e^{i\beta_0 R_n} &= \frac{De^{-i\beta_0\frac{\beta_0}{(n+1)}R}}{e^{i\beta_0\frac{(n-1)}{(n+1)}R} - e^{-2\delta}} = \frac{De^{2\delta - i\alpha}(e^{-f} + e^f)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{(e^{-f} + (-1)^{n-1}e^{2i(n-1)\alpha}e^f)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}(A_1e^{-f} + A_2e^f)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where A_1, A_2 are given by

$$A_1 = 1 - e^{-i(n-1)(\alpha - \pi \text{Sign}(\alpha) + 2\delta)}, \quad A_2 = 1 - e^{i(n-1)(\alpha - \pi \text{Sign}(\alpha) + 2\delta)}.$$

It remains to derive the solutions for $\tilde{\psi}_a, \tilde{\chi}_a$. The algebraic relation (3.4) together with eqns. (3.9), (3.13) and (3.14) allows us to calculate the product $\psi_a \chi_a$, $a = 1, n$,

$$\beta_0^2 \psi_1 \chi_1 = \frac{N^2(e^{-f} + e^f)^{\frac{-1}{n-1}}(e^{-f} + (-1)^{n-1}e^{2i(n-1)\alpha}e^f)^{\frac{-(n-2)}{(n-1)}}}{(A_1e^{-f} + A_2e^f)} \quad (3.16)$$

where $N^2 = (1 + e^{-2i\alpha})((-1)^{n-1}e^{2i(n-1)\alpha} - 1)$. For the ratio $\frac{\psi_a}{\chi_a}$ we obtain the simple equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\rho_+} \ln\left(\frac{\psi_1}{\chi_1}\right) &= \partial_{\rho_+} \ln\left(\frac{\psi_n}{\chi_n}\right) = 0, \\ \partial_{\rho_-} \ln\left(\frac{\psi_1}{\chi_1}\right) &= -\partial_{\rho_-} \ln\left(\frac{\psi_n}{\chi_n}\right) = -2i\mu \sin(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore we find

$$\frac{\psi_1}{\chi_1} = e^{-2i(\mu\rho_- \sin(\alpha) + p_1)}, \quad \frac{\psi_n}{\chi_n} = e^{2i(\mu\rho_- \sin(\alpha) + p_n)}. \quad (3.17)$$

where p_1, p_n are arbitrary constants. The explicit expression for ψ_a, χ_a completes the final form of our (Q_1, Q_n) -charged topological 1-soliton solution

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1 &= \frac{1}{\beta_0} e^{-i(\mu\rho_- \sin(\alpha) + p_1)} \sqrt{\psi_1 \chi_1}, \quad \chi_1 = \frac{1}{\beta_0} e^{i(\mu\rho_- \sin(\alpha) + p_1)} \sqrt{\psi_1 \chi_1} \\ \psi_n &= \frac{N e^{-d_2 - \frac{i}{2}(n-3)(\alpha - \pi \text{Sign}(\alpha))} e^{i(\mu\rho_- \sin(\alpha) + p_1)} (e^{-f} + (-1)^{n-1}e^{2i(n-1)\alpha}e^f)^{\frac{-1}{2(n+1)}}}{\beta \frac{(A_1e^{-f} + A_2e^f)^{\frac{1}{2}}(e^{-f} + e^f)^{\frac{(n-2)}{2(n-1)}}}{(A_1e^{-f} + A_2e^f)^{\frac{1}{2}}(e^{-f} + e^f)^{\frac{(n-2)}{2(n-1)}}}}, \\ \chi_n &= \frac{N e^{-d_1 - \frac{i}{2}(n-3)(\alpha - \pi \text{Sign}(\alpha))} e^{-i(\mu\rho_- \sin(\alpha) + p_1)} (e^{-f} + (-1)^{n-1}e^{2i(n-1)\alpha}e^f)^{\frac{-1}{2(n+1)}}}{\beta \frac{(A_1e^{-f} + A_2e^f)^{\frac{1}{2}}(e^{-f} + e^f)^{\frac{(n-2)}{2(n-1)}}}{(A_1e^{-f} + A_2e^f)^{\frac{1}{2}}(e^{-f} + e^f)^{\frac{(n-2)}{2(n-1)}}}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

i.e., the (Q_1, Q_n) 1-soliton of the dyonic model $A_n^{(1)}(p=2)$ IM (1.2) is presented by the set of functions (3.14), (3.16) and (3.18) of space time variables ρ_+ and ρ_- .

It is worthwhile to mention that both, the Lagrangian (1.2) and the 1st-order system (3.3)-(3.4) have two interesting limits:

- $\psi_1 = \chi_1 = 0, (R_n = \text{const.})$ or $\psi_n = \chi_n = 0, (R_1 = \text{const.})$ leading to the $A_{n-1}^{(1)}(p=1)$ dyonic IM (1.1) (see ref. [7] for corresponding 1st-order system) and their 1-soliton solutions [7], [8] represent one charge $(0, Q_n)$ or $(Q_1, 0)$ topological solitons of our $A_n(p=2)$ model (2.32).
- $\psi_1 = \chi_1 = \psi_n = \chi_n = 0$ gives rise to the $A_{n-2}^{(1)}$ abelian Affine Toda model and its neutral $(0, 0)$ 1-solitons are particular cases of our (Q_1, Q_n) -solitons.

3.3 Soliton Spectrum

One of the main properties of the soliton solutions is that they carry finite energy and nontrivial topological charge (and electric charges Q_1, Q_n in our case). Thus, it manifests a particle-like spectrum, $M, E, Q_1^{el}, Q_n^{el}, Q_{mag}, Q_\theta^a$. The soliton charges $Q_a^{el}, Q_{mag}, Q_\theta^a$ as well as their energy E (and mass M) are known to depend on the boundary conditions, i.e. asymptotics of fields $\varphi_l, \psi_a, \chi_a$ (at $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$), and on the symmetries of the 1st order (BPS-like) equations (3.3). In fact, the explicit form of the soliton spectrum can be derived without the knowledge of the exact 1-soliton solution (3.14) - (3.18). The arguments are quite similar to the abelian affine Toda [10] and $A_n^{(1)}(p=1)$ dyonic IM [7] cases. One first verify the following “solitonic conservation law”

$$\bar{\partial}F^- = \partial F^+,$$

$$F^- = -\frac{\mu\gamma}{\beta^2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\beta\Phi_k} + \beta^2\tilde{\psi}_n\tilde{\chi}_n\right), \quad F^+ = \frac{\mu}{\gamma\beta^2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{\beta\Phi_k} + \beta^2\tilde{\psi}_1\tilde{\chi}_1\right) \quad (3.19)$$

and as a consequence the $A_n(p=2)$ potential $V_n^{(p=2)}$ (1.2) can be written in the form

$$V_n^{(p=2)} = -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\partial}F^- + \partial F^+)$$

Next step is to demonstrate (by using the 1-soliton eqns. (3.3),(3.4) and (3.6)) that the $A_n(p=2)$ stress-tensor components $T_{00} = T^+ + T^- + 2V$, $T_{01} = T^+ - T^-$,

$$2T^+ = \frac{1}{2}k_{ij}\partial\varphi_i\partial\varphi_j + \frac{1}{\Delta}\left(e^{-\beta\varphi_1}\Delta_n\partial\psi_1\partial\chi_1 + e^{-\beta\varphi_{n-2}}\Delta_1\partial\psi_n\partial\chi_n\right. \\ \left.+ \beta^2\frac{e^{-\beta(\varphi_1+\varphi_{n-2})}}{2(n-1)}(\chi_1\psi_n\partial\chi_n\partial\psi_1 + \chi_n\psi_1\partial\chi_1\partial\psi_n)\right) \quad (3.20)$$

and $T^- = T^+(\partial \rightarrow \bar{\partial})$ where

$$\Delta_1 = 1 + \beta^2\frac{n}{2(n-1)}\psi_1\chi_1e^{-\beta\varphi_1}, \quad \Delta_n = 1 + \beta^2\frac{n}{2(n-1)}\psi_n\chi_ne^{-\beta\varphi_{n-2}}$$

are total derivatives, i.e.,

$$T_{00} = \partial_x(F^- - F^+), \quad T_{01} = \partial_x(F^- + F^+)$$

Therefore the energy and the momentum of our (Q_1, Q_n) 1-soliton (3.14) - (3.18) gets contribution from boundary terms only,

$$E = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} T_{00} dx = (F^- - F^+)|_{-\infty}^{+\infty}, \quad P = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} T_{01} dx = (F^- + F^+)|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \quad (3.21)$$

The last step is to calculate E, P and $M = \sqrt{E^2 - P^2}$ in terms of the asymptotics of the fields $\varphi_l, \psi_a, \chi_a, R_a$. The analysis of the classical vacua structure of the $A_n(p=2)$ IM (1.2) (i.e., nontrivial constant solutions corresponding to multiple zeros of the potential $V_n^{(p=2)}$, with $\beta = i\beta_0$) presented in Sect. 2.4 provides the complete list of the admissible solitonic b.c.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_l(\pm\infty) &= \left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta_0}\right) \frac{lN_{\pm}^{\pm}}{n-1}, & (\psi_a \chi_a)(\pm\infty) &= 0, \quad R_a(\pm\infty) = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_0} f_{\pm}^{(a)} \\ R(\pm\infty) &= \frac{2\pi}{\beta_0} (f_{\pm}^{(1)} - f_{\pm}^{(n)}) = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_0} f_{\pm}, & i l n \frac{\psi_a}{\chi_a}(\pm\infty) &= \pi L_{\pm}^a, \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

where $N_{\pm}, L_{\pm}^a$ are arbitrary integers and $f_{\pm}^a$ are real numbers. Substituting the above asymptotic values in eqn. (3.21) we derive the following mass formula,

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \frac{4\mu(n-1)}{\beta_0^2} \left| \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{n-1} (j_{\varphi} - (f_+ - f_-) \frac{n-1}{n+1}) \right) \right|, \\ j_{\varphi} &= N_+ - N_- = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm(n-2) \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

We can further simplify eq. (3.23) by noting that according to eqns. (2.28) and (2.34) we have

$$Q_1^{el} - Q_n^{el} = 4\pi \frac{n-1}{n+1} (f_+ - f_-), \quad Q_{mag} = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0^2} j_{\varphi} \quad (3.24)$$

Therefore the 1-soliton masses are independent of Q_{θ} and $Q_1^{el} + Q_n^{el}$,

$$M = \frac{4\mu(n-1)}{\beta_0^2} \left| \sin \left(\frac{\beta_0^2 Q_{mag} - Q_1^{el} + Q_n^{el}}{4(n-1)} \right) \right| \quad (3.25)$$

As in the case of the axial and vector $A_n(p=1)$ dyonic IM [7], one expects the 1-solitons of the corresponding vector gauged $A_n(p=2)$ IM to exhibit mass spectrum with Q_a^{el} replaced by $\tilde{Q}_{\theta}^a$. An important question to be answered concerns the relation between $f_{\pm}^{(a)}, N_{\pm}, L_{\pm}$ introduced in (3.22) and the parameters that appear in the soliton solution (3.14)-(3.18), and further the question of whether we can have $f_+^a \neq f_-^a, N_+ \neq N_-$, etc. The analysis is quite similar to the $A_n(p=1)$ case presented in ref. [7], and is based on **a**) the soliton conservation laws in the form (3.8)-(3.10) and **b**) the direct evaluations of the asymptotics at $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ of the fields $\varphi_l, \psi_a, \chi_a, R_a$ from eqns. (3.14)-(3.18). The result is as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_l(\pm\infty) &= \frac{2\pi}{\beta_0} \left(\frac{l}{n-1} N_{\pm}^l + K_{\pm}^l \right), \\ \theta_a(-\infty) &= \theta_a(+\infty), \quad i.e., \quad j_{\theta}^{(a)} = Q_{\theta}^a = 0 \\ R(+\infty) &= \frac{2\pi}{\beta_0} f_+ = \frac{1}{\beta_0} \left((n+1)\alpha + 2\pi S_+ \frac{(n+1)}{n-1} \right), \\ R(-\infty) &= \frac{2\pi}{\beta_0} f_- = \frac{1}{\beta_0} \left(2\pi S_- \frac{(n+1)}{n-1} - (n+1)(\alpha - \pi \text{Sign}(\alpha)) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

and from (3.8)-(3.10) one gets further restrictions,

$$N_{\pm}^l = N_{\pm} \pmod{(n-1)}, \quad S_{\pm} = N_{\pm} \pmod{(n-1)}, \quad K_{\pm}^l = 0, \quad N_+ \neq N_-$$

as one can see from eqns. (3.10) and (3.15). The $R_1 + R_n$ asymptotics are certain functions of α and δ , but they do not enter directly in the computation of the 1-soliton spectrum.

We should mention that the origin of the factor $\pi \text{Sign}(\alpha)$ in the explicit form of the soliton solutions (3.13), (3.15) and (3.18) as well as in the boundary values $R(\pm\infty)$ in eqn. (3.26) comes from the consecutive application of eqn. (3.8) for $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and $t = t_0$ fixed. This leads to the following equation for $f_{\pm}$:

$$\sin \frac{2\pi}{n-1} \left(\frac{n-1}{n+1} f_{\pm} - N_{\pm} \right) = \sin \alpha$$

Its general solution turns out to depend on the sign of the angle α . The same argument takes place in the determination of the constants of integration S_p (see eqn. (3.12)) from eqn. (3.8).

One might wonder whether massless 1-solitons exists. Indeed for specific values of Q_{mag} and Q_a^{el} , when the following relation

$$\beta_0^2 Q_{mag} - Q_1^{el} + Q_n^{el} = 4\pi(n-1)s, \quad s \in Z \quad (3.27)$$

takes place, we have $M = 0$ as one can see from eq. (3.25). However by substituting the explicit form of Q_a^{el} in terms of $f_{\pm}$ and α , we conclude that eq. (3.27) is satisfied for $\alpha = \alpha_s = \pi(s - \frac{1}{2})$ only. Since $\cos(\alpha_s) = 0$ leads to $N(\alpha_s) = 0$ and $f(\alpha_s) = \mu\rho_+ \cos(\alpha_s) + \frac{1}{2} \ln X_0 = \text{const}$ and therefore the solutions for such values of $\alpha = \alpha_s$ coincide with the trivial constant vacua solution. Hence, they do not describe 1-solitons. In conclusion, the charges of the proper 1-soliton should satisfy the following selection rule,

$$\beta_0^2 Q_{mag} - Q_1^{el} + Q_n^{el} \neq 4\pi(n-1)s. \quad (3.28)$$

3.4 Semiclassical Quantization

An important feature of the (Q_1, Q_n) charged topological 1-soliton we have constructed is that at the rest frame it represents periodic particle-like motion (due to the ρ_- dependence of ψ_a, χ_a) with period $\tau = \frac{2\pi}{\mu \sin(\alpha)}$. Then, similarly to the SG breather [29], NLS and Lund-Regge 1-solitons [12] as well as $U(1)$ -charged 1-solitons of the $A_n(p=1)$ IM, one can apply the field theoretic analog of the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization rule

$$\begin{aligned} S^{ax} + E(v=0)\tau &= \int_0^\tau dt \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx \Pi_{\rho_M} \dot{\rho}_M = 2\pi j_{el}, \quad j_{el} \in Z \\ \Pi_{\rho_M} &= \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{\rho}_M}, \quad \mathcal{H}^{ax} = \Pi_{\rho_M} \dot{\rho}_M - \mathcal{L}^{ax} \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

in order to derive the semiclassical 1-soliton spectrum. Taking into account that $\Pi_{\theta_a} = \frac{1}{\beta_0} J_a^{0,el}$ (see eqns. (2.34), (2.38), (2.39)) and the following simple t-dependence of our charged 1-soliton solution (3.14)-(3.18) at rest frame ($\gamma = e^{-b}$, $\cosh(b) = 1$, $\sinh(b) = 0$):

$$\partial_t \varphi_l = \partial_t \Pi_{\varphi_l} = \partial_t (\psi_a \chi_a) = \partial_t \Pi_{\mathcal{R}_a} = 0, \quad \partial_t \ln \frac{\psi_1}{\chi_1} = -\partial_t \ln \frac{\psi_n}{\chi_n} = 2i\mu \sin(\alpha)$$

we find that

$$\int_0^\tau dt \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx \Pi_{\rho_M} \dot{\rho}_M = \int_0^\tau dt \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx \Pi_{\theta_a} \dot{\theta}_a = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_0^2} (Q_1 - Q_n) \quad (3.30)$$

Therefore the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization rule implies

$$Q_1 - Q_n = \beta_0^2 j_{el},$$

or equivalently

$$Q_1 = \beta_0^2 \left(\frac{j_{el}}{2} + \delta_0 \right), \quad Q_n = \beta_0^2 \left(-\frac{j_{el}}{2} + \delta_0 \right), \quad \delta_0 = \delta_0(\alpha, \delta) \quad (3.31)$$

where δ_0 is an arbitrary parameter, i.e., only the difference of the charges is quantized. Their sum remains continuous. Taking into account the improvements (2.45), (2.46) of the electric charges Q_a^{el} introduced by topological “ θ -terms” (2.42), (2.43) and the corresponding topological shifts in the momenta Π_{θ_a} , (i.e. of the electric currents $J_a^{0,el}$ eqns. (2.45) we obtain the following (improved) charge quantization

$$\beta_0^2 j_{el} = Q_1^{impr} - Q_n^{impr} = Q_1 - Q_n - \frac{\beta_0^2}{2\pi} \left((\nu^{(1)} - \nu^{(n)}) j_\varphi + \frac{\nu_\theta}{2} (j_\theta^{(1)} - j_\theta^{(n)}) \right)$$

and therefore

$$\Delta Q = Q_1 - Q_n = \beta_0^2 \left(j_{el} + \frac{(\nu^{(1)} - \nu^{(n)})}{2\pi} j_\varphi + \frac{\nu_\theta}{4\pi} (j_\theta^{(1)} - j_\theta^{(n)}) \right) \quad (3.32)$$

Since both δ_0 and ν_θ are arbitrary reals we can choose ν_θ such that $2\delta_0 = \frac{\nu_\theta}{4\pi} (j_\theta^{(n)} - j_\theta^{(1)})$. Then the charge spectrum takes the form,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= \frac{\beta_0^2}{2} \left(j_{el} + \frac{1}{\pi} \nu^{(1)} j_\varphi + \frac{\nu_\theta}{4\pi} (j_\theta^{(1)} + j_\theta^{(n)}) \right), \\ Q_n &= \frac{\beta_0^2}{2} \left(-j_{el} + \frac{1}{\pi} \nu^{(n)} j_\varphi - \frac{\nu_\theta}{4\pi} (j_\theta^{(1)} + j_\theta^{(n)}) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

and the 1-soliton improved mass formula (3.25) becomes

$$M_{j_{el}, j_\varphi} = \frac{4\mu(n-1)}{\beta_0^2} \left| \sin \frac{(4\pi j_\varphi - \beta_0^2 j_{el})}{4(n-1)} \right| \quad (3.34)$$

The semiclassical version of the selection rule (3.28) that singles out the true charged topological solitons takes now the form ($\beta_0^2 = -\frac{2\pi}{k}$):

$$j_{el} \neq \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0^2} (j_\varphi - s(n-1)) = 2k(s(n-1) - j_\varphi), \quad (3.35)$$

where $j_{el} \in Z$, $j_\varphi = 0, 1, \dots, n-2 \bmod (n-1)$. Therefore for *integer* k , we have to exclude certain j_{el} according to eqn. (3.35), i.e. for fixed topological charge j_φ , not all electric charges

j_{el} are allowed. As a consequence the mass formula (3.34) makes sense for this specific set of allowed (j_{el}, j_φ) only.

Similarly to the $A_n(p=1)$ model (1.1) (see Sect 2 and 5 of ref. [7]) due to T-duality that maps electric charges of the axial model into the Q_θ^a - topological charges of the vector model (and vice-versa), the topological charges Q_θ^a (2.29) ($Q_\theta^a = 0$ for our 1-soliton solution) also acquires improvements

$$Q_\theta^{a, impr} = f_a(\nu^a) j_\varphi \quad (3.36)$$

$f_a(\nu^a)$ is certain function of ν^a determined by T-duality (see for example eqns. (2.40) of ref. [7]). The complete *semiclassical spectrum* of our (Q_1, Q_n) - charged 1-solitons (3.14)- (3.18) is represented by eqns. (3.33)- (3.36).

4 Soliton Vertex Operators

4.1 Dressing Transformations

The first order soliton equations (3.3), (3.4) are by no means an effective tool for deriving the explicit form (3.14)-(3.18) of the multicharged topological 1-solitons of the $A_n(p=2)$ dyonic IM. The systematic construction of the different species of N-solitons and breathers however, requires more powerful group theoretical methods as the $A_n^{(1)}$ -vertex operators and the corresponding τ -functions [10]. An important advantage of such method is that they make transparent the algebraic structure underlying the soliton solutions, namely, the level one representation of the twisted A_n affine Kac-Moody algebra [10], [16]. The dressing transformation [28] are known to be the origin of all those methods (including the vacuum Bäcklund transformation (3.1) of Sect. 3). Let us consider two arbitrary solutions $B_s \in \hat{G}_0$, $s = 1, 2$ of eqns. (2.17) written for the case of $A_n^{(1)}$ extended by d and the central term c , i.e.

$$B_s = g_{0s} e^{\nu_s c + \eta_s d},$$

The corresponding Lax (L-S) connections (2.21) $\mathcal{A}(s) = \mathcal{A}(B_s)$, $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(s) = \bar{\mathcal{A}}(B_s)$ are related by gauge (dressing) transformations $\theta_{-,+} = \exp \mathcal{G}_{<,>}$,

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu(2) = \theta_\pm \mathcal{A}_\mu(1) \theta_\pm^{-1} + (\partial_\mu \theta_\pm) \theta_\pm^{-1} \quad (4.1)$$

They leave invariant the equations of motion (2.17) as well as the auxiliar linear problem, i.e. the pure gauge $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ defined in terms of the monodromy matrix $T(B_s)$,

$$(\partial_\mu - \mathcal{A}(B_s)_\mu) T_s(B_s) = 0 \quad (4.2)$$

The consistency of equations (4.1) and (4.2) imply the following relations

$$T_2 = \theta_\pm T_1, \text{ i.e. } \theta_+ T_1 = \theta_- T_1 g^{(1)} \quad (4.3)$$

where $g^{(1)} \in \hat{G}$ is an arbitrary constant element of the corresponding affine group. Suppose $T_1 = T_0(B_{vac})$ is the vacuum solution,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{vac}\epsilon_- B_{vac}^{-1} &= \epsilon_-, & \bar{\partial} B_{vac} B_{vac}^{-1} &= \mu^2 z c, \\ \mathcal{A}_{vac} &= -\epsilon_-, & \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{vac} &= \epsilon_+ + \mu^2 z c, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

and $T_0 = \exp(-z\epsilon_-)\exp(\bar{z}\epsilon_+)$ as one can easily check by using the fact that $[\epsilon_+, \epsilon_-] = \mu^2 c$. According to eqns. (4.1) and (4.3), every solution $T_2 = T(B)$ can be obtained from the vacuum configuration (4.4) by an appropriate gauge transformation $\theta_{\pm}$. In fact, eqns. (4.1) with $\mathcal{A}_{vac}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{vac}$ as in eqn. (4.4) and

$$\mathcal{A}(B) = -B\epsilon_- B^{-1}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{A}} = \epsilon_+ + \bar{\partial} B B^{-1}$$

allows to derive $\theta_{\pm}$ as functionals of B , i.e. $\theta_{\pm} = \theta_{\pm}(B)$. We next apply eqns. (4.3),

$$\theta_-^{-1} \theta_+ = T_{vac} g^{(1)} T_{vac}^{-1} \quad (4.5)$$

in order to obtain a non trivial field configuration B in terms of $g^{(1)} \in G$ and certain highest weight (h.w.) representation of the twisted algebra $A_n^{(1)}$ as we shall see in Sect. 4.2. The first step consists in substituting $\mathcal{A}_{vac}, \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{vac}$ and $\mathcal{A}(B), \bar{\mathcal{A}}(B)$ in eqn. (4.1) and then solving it grade by grade remembering that $\theta_{\pm}$ may be decomposed in the form of infinite products

$$\theta_- = e^{t(0)} e^{t(-1)} \dots, \quad \theta_+ = e^{v(0)} e^{v(1)} \dots$$

where $t(-i)$ and $v(i), i = 1, 2, \dots$ denote linear combinations of grade $q = \mp i$ generators. For grade zero we find

$$t(0) = H(\bar{z}), \quad e^{v(0)} = B e^{G(z) - \mu^2 z \bar{z} c}$$

where the arbitrary functions $H(\bar{z}), G(z) \in \mathcal{G}_0^0$ and are fixed to zero due to the subsidiary constraints (2.18), (2.19), i.e., $H(\bar{z}) = G(z) = 0$. The equations for $v(1), t(-1)$ appears to be of the form

$$B^{-1} \partial B - \mu^2 \bar{z} c = [v(1), \epsilon_-], \quad \bar{\partial} B B^{-1} = [t(-1), \epsilon_+] + \mu^2 z c$$

The next step is to consider certain matrix elements (taken for the h.w. representation $|\lambda_l\rangle$) of eqn. (4.5). Since $v(i)|\lambda_l\rangle = 0$ and $\langle \lambda_l | t(-i) = 0, i > 0$, we conclude that

$$\langle \lambda_l | B | \lambda_l \rangle e^{-\mu^2 z \bar{z}} = \langle \lambda_l | T_0 g^{(1)} T_0^{-1} | \lambda_l \rangle \quad (4.6)$$

Taking into account the explicit parametrization of the zero grade subgroup element B (2.10) in terms of the physical fields, $\nu, \varphi_i, \psi_a, \chi_a$ and choosing specific matrix elements we derive their explicit space-time dependence,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_0 &\equiv e^{\nu - \mu^2 z \bar{z}} = \langle \lambda_0 | T_0 g^{(1)} T_0^{-1} | \lambda_0 \rangle, \\ \tau_{R_1} &\equiv e^{\frac{nR_1}{n+1} + \frac{R_n}{n+1} + \nu - \mu^2 z \bar{z}} = \langle \lambda_1 | T_0 g^{(1)} T_0^{-1} | \lambda_1 \rangle, \\ \tau_{R_n} &\equiv e^{\frac{R_1}{n+1} + \frac{nR_n}{n+1} + \nu - \mu^2 z \bar{z}} = \langle \lambda_n | T_0 g^{(1)} T_0^{-1} | \lambda_n \rangle, \\ \tau_j &\equiv e^{\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_j R_1 + \lambda_n \cdot \lambda_j R_n + \varphi_{j-1} + \nu - \mu^2 z \bar{z}} = \langle \lambda_j | T_0 g^{(1)} T_0^{-1} | \lambda_j \rangle, \quad j = 2, \dots, n-1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{\psi_l} &\equiv e^{(\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_l + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{l,1}) R_1 + (\frac{1}{2} \delta_{l,n} + \lambda_n \cdot \lambda_l) R_l + \nu - \mu^2 z \bar{z}} \psi_l, \quad l = 1, n \\
&= \langle \lambda_l | T_0 g^{(1)} T_0^{-1} E_{-\alpha_l}^{(0)} | \lambda_l \rangle, \\
\tau_{\chi_l} &\equiv e^{(\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_l + \frac{1}{2} R_1 \delta_{l,1}) R_1 + (\frac{1}{2} \delta_{l,n} + \lambda_n \cdot \lambda_l) R_n + \nu - \mu^2 z \bar{z}} \chi_l \\
&= \langle \lambda_l | E_{\alpha_l}^{(0)} T_0 g^{(1)} T_0^{-1} | \lambda_l \rangle, \quad l = 1, n
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where for A_n we have $\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_j = \frac{n+1-j}{n+1}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. In order to make the construction of the solution solution (4.7) complete it remains to specify the constant affine group element $g^{(1)}$. The constant element $g^{(1)}$ encodes the information (including topological properties) about the N-soliton structure of eqns. (2.17). We shall see in the next Sect 4.2 that all 1-soliton solutions of the $A_n^{(1)}(p=2)$ dyonic IM (1.2) - the neutral $(0,0)$, $U(1)$ -charged, $(Q_1, 0)$ and $(0, Q_n)$, and the $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ -charged (Q_1, Q_n) - are represented by certain level one vertex operators (i.e., h.w. representations) of the twisted $A_n^{(1)}$ algebra. The twist being determined by the corresponding $A_n^{(1)}(p=2)$ graded structure $Q, \epsilon_{\pm}, \mathcal{G}_0^0$. The multi soliton solutions and the breathers are associated to appropriate tensor products of these basic vertices.

4.2 Vertex Operators

Given $A_n^{(1)}$ affine algebra,

$$\begin{aligned}
[H_i^m, H_j^n] &= cm \delta_{m+n,0} \delta_{i,j} \quad i, j = 1, \dots, \text{rank } \mathcal{G} \\
[H_i^m, E_{\alpha}^n] &= (\alpha)^i E_{\alpha}^{m+n} \\
[E_{\alpha}^m, E_{\beta}^n] &= \begin{cases} \epsilon(\alpha, \beta) E_{\alpha+\beta}^{m+n} & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \text{ is a root} \\ \alpha \cdot H^{m+n} + cm \delta_{m+n,0} & \text{if } \alpha + \beta = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

($m, n \in Z$ and c is its level to be taken to 1 in the vertex operator representations), provided with the graded structure $\{Q, \epsilon_{\pm}, \mathcal{G}_0^0\}$ as in eqns. (2.9). It is important to mention that the chiral two loop Kac-Moody algebra spanned by $J^a(z; \lambda) = \sum_{n,s \in Z} J_{n,s}^a z^{-n-1} \lambda^{-s-1}$ (where $J_{0,m}^a$ denote H_i^m and E_{α}^m) has two independent central extensions:

$$\begin{aligned}
[J_{s_1}^a(z_1), J_{s_2}^b(z_2)] &= if^{abc} J_{s_1+s_2}^c(z_1) \delta(z_1 - z_2) \\
&+ i \frac{k}{2\pi} g^{ab} \delta'(z_1 - z_2) \delta_{s_1+s_2,0} + \frac{c}{2\pi} s_1 g^{ab} \delta(z_1 - z_2) \delta_{s_1+s_2,0}
\end{aligned}$$

The label k that appear as the coupling $\beta^2 = \frac{2\pi}{k}$ in the WZW action is related to the mode expansion in the space time variables $z, \bar{z}$. The central term c appearing in (4.8) is related to the mode expansion in the spectral parameter λ . In the vertex operator construction below, the only λ -part of the algebra spanned by $J_{0,m}^a$, representing the dressing symmetry takes place.

Since $\epsilon_{\pm}$ form a Heisenberg subalgebra,

$$[\epsilon_+, \epsilon_-] = \mu^2 c$$

and we have to calculate the matrix elements (τ -functions), say

$$\langle \lambda_0 | e^{-z\epsilon_-} e^{\bar{z}\epsilon_+} g^{(1)} e^{-\bar{z}\epsilon_+} e^{z\epsilon_-} | \lambda_0 \rangle,$$

it is instructive to introduce a new basis for the $A_n^{(1)}$, such that $\epsilon_{\pm}$ appear as part of its Cartan affine subalgebra. Let us first observe that the following specific linear combination of $H_i^{(l)}, E_{\beta}^{(k)}$ [16],

$$\begin{aligned} b_{a+m(n-1)}^a &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1-a} E_{\alpha_{i+1}+\alpha_{i+2}+\dots+\alpha_{i+a}}^{(m)} + \sum_{i=1}^a E_{-(\alpha_{i+1}+\alpha_{i+2}+\dots+\alpha_{i+n-1-a})}^{(m+1)}, \quad a = 1, \dots, n-2 \\ b_{m(n-1)}^0 &= \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)}{2}} (\lambda_1 + \lambda_n) \cdot H^{(m)}, \quad b_m = \sqrt{\frac{(n+1)}{2(n-1)}} (\lambda_1 - \lambda_n) \cdot H^{(m)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

close new n -dimensional Heisenberg subalgebra,

$$[b_{a+m(n-1)}^a, (b_{b+l(n-1)}^b)^{\dagger}] = (a + m(n-1)) \delta_{m,l} \delta_{a,b},$$

$$[b_{a+m(n-1)}^a, b_m] = 0, \quad a = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$[b_m, b_l] = m \delta_{m+l,0} \quad (4.10)$$

Therefore we can consider the generators $b_{a+m(n-1)}^a, a = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ together with b_m (and their conjugate) as the generators of the affine Cartan subalgebra in the new basis for the twisted $A_n^{(1)}$ ⁷. Next step is to complete this basis with the corresponding new step operators $e_{\alpha}^{(l)}$. Since we have by definition that

$$\epsilon_+ = \mu b_1^1, \quad \epsilon_- = \mu (b_1^1)^{\dagger}$$

commute with b_0^0 and b_0 , in the vanishing central charge case, they all commute and hence share the same set of eigenvectors $F(\gamma)$,

$$[\epsilon^{\pm}, F(\gamma)] = f^{\pm}(\gamma) F(\gamma), \quad [b_0^0, F(\gamma)] = f_0^0(\gamma) F(\gamma), \quad [b_0, F(\gamma)] = f_0(\gamma) F(\gamma) \quad (4.11)$$

Following ref. [16] we find four different types of eigenvectors

$$\begin{aligned} F_{a,j}(\gamma) &= \frac{\hat{c}}{(w^a - 1)} + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma^{-m(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} h_{i+1}^{(m)} \sum_{p=1}^i w^{a(i-p)} \\ &+ \sum_{b=1}^{n-2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} w^{bj} \gamma^{-(b+m(n-1))} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1-b} w^{a(i-1)} E_{\alpha_{i+1}+\alpha_{i+2}+\dots+\alpha_{i+b}}^{(m)} \right. \\ &\left. + \sum_{i=1}^b w^{a(i+n-2-b)} E_{-(\alpha_{i+1}+\alpha_{i+2}+\dots+\alpha_{i+n-1-b})}^{(m+1)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

⁷ Note that $b_{a+m(n-1)}^a, a \neq 0$ has no zero modes, i.e., only two of the generators of the new Cartan subalgebra, namely $b_{m(n-1)}^0, b_m$ are untwisted.

where $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, $a = 1, \dots, n-2$ and $w = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{(n-1)}\right)$, together with

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{F}_{1,l}(\gamma) &= \sqrt{2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma^{-m(n-1)} \sum_{p=0}^{n-2} w^{pl} \gamma^{-p} E_{\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_{p+1}}^m, \\ \tilde{F}_{1,l}(\gamma) &= \sqrt{2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma^{-m(n-1)} \sum_{p=0}^{n-2} w^{pl} \gamma^{-p} E_{\alpha_n+\dots+\alpha_{n-p}}^m, \quad l = 0, \dots, n-2 \\ F^\pm(\gamma) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma^{-(m+1)(n-1)+1} E_{\pm(\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_n)}^m\end{aligned}\tag{4.13}$$

Their eigenvalues are obtained from

$$\begin{aligned}[\epsilon^\pm, F_{a,j}(\gamma)] &= \mu w^{\mp j} (w^{\pm a} - 1) \gamma^\pm F_{a,j}(\gamma) \\ [\epsilon^\pm, \bar{F}_{1,l}(\gamma)] &= -\mu \gamma^{\pm 1} w^{\mp l} \bar{F}_{1,l}(\gamma) \\ [\epsilon^\pm, \tilde{F}_{1,l}(\gamma)] &= \mu \gamma^{\pm 1} w^{\mp l} \tilde{F}_{1,l}(\gamma) \\ [\epsilon^\pm, F^\pm(\gamma)] &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{4.14}$$

In fact, $F_{a,j}, \bar{F}_{1,l}, \tilde{F}_{1,l}, F^\pm$ are eigenvectors of all oscillators $b_{a+m(n-1)}^a$ and b_m , in particular,

$$\begin{aligned}[b_{a_1+l(n-1)}^{a_1}, F_{a_2,j}(\gamma)] &= w^{-a_1 j} (w^{a_1 a_2} - 1) \gamma^{a_1+l(n-1)} F_{a_2,j}(\gamma) \\ [b_l, F_{a,j}(\gamma)] &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{4.15}$$

We can therefore identify the step operators in the new basis as follows

$$e_{\tilde{\beta}_j}^{(l)} = \oint \frac{d\gamma}{2\pi i \gamma} \gamma^{a+l(n-1)} F_{a,j}(\gamma),$$

With the new basis at hand, we define the $A_n^{(1)}$ affine group element $g^{(1)}$ as

$$g^{(1)} = e^{dF(\gamma)}, \quad F(\gamma) = F_{a,j}, \bar{F}_{1,l}, \tilde{F}_{1,l}, F^\pm$$

or more generally as a product including all the $A_n^{(1)}$ generators,

$$g^{(1)} = e^{d_1 F_1(\gamma_1)} e^{d_2 F_2(\gamma_2)} \dots e^{d_N F_N(\gamma_N)}\tag{4.16}$$

The new basis introduced above drastically simplifies the calculation of the τ -functions (4.7),

$$T_0 g^{(1)} T_0^{-1} = \exp(d\rho(\gamma)F(\gamma)) = 1 + d\rho(\gamma)F(\gamma)\tag{4.17}$$

where $\rho(\gamma) = \exp(-zf^-(\gamma) + \bar{z}f^+(\gamma))$. The last equality in (4.17) reflects the fact that $F^2(\gamma) = 0$ for $A_n^{(1)}$ due to the well known properties of the short distance OPE (see ref [16]).

An important question concerns the specific choice of the form (4.16) of $g^{(1)}$ that leads to different species of neutral and charged solitons and breathers. As in the $A_n^{(1)}(p=1)$ dyonic IM case [7], [8] taking

$$g_a^{(1)}(\gamma) = e^{dF_{a,n-1}(\gamma)}\tag{4.18}$$

we reproduce the abelian affine $A_{n-2}^{(1)}$ -Toda neutral 1-soliton solutions, since $\tau_{\psi_a} = \tau_{\chi_a} = 0$. The corresponding $U(1)$ charges vanish identically, i.e. $Q_1 = Q_n = 0$. Another indication of the neutrality of such solution is that $F_{a,n-1}(\gamma)$ has zero eigenvalue with respect to the generators of the $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ charges, namely, $\lambda_1 \cdot H$ and $\lambda_n \cdot H$.

The choice

$$g_1^{(1)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = e^{\bar{d}_1 \bar{F}_{1,1}(\gamma_2)} e^{\bar{d}_2 \bar{F}_{1,1}^\dagger(\gamma_1)}, \quad g_n^{(1)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = e^{\tilde{d}_1 \tilde{F}_{1,1}^\dagger(\gamma_2)} e^{\tilde{d}_2 \tilde{F}_{1,1}(\gamma_1)} \quad (4.19)$$

for $\gamma_1 = e^{B-i(\alpha+\pi)}$, $\gamma_2 = e^{B+i\alpha}$ (and further conditions on $\bar{d}_1, \bar{d}_2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2$ as in ref. [8]) leads to nontrivial $U(1)$ charged $\tilde{\alpha}_1 - (Q_1, 0)$ or $\tilde{\alpha}_n - (0, Q_n)$ 1-soliton solutions. They provide 1-soliton solutions with $\psi_n = \chi_n = 0$ or $\psi_1 = \chi_1 = 0$ coinciding with the electrically charged 1-soliton solution of the $A_n^{(1)}(p=1)$ dyonic IM [7], [8]. Similarly, the 3-vertex solution generated by

$$g_{1a}^{(1)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) = g_1^{(1)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) g_a^{(1)}(\gamma_3), \quad g_{na}^{(1)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) = g_n^{(1)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) g_a^{(1)}(\gamma_3) \quad (4.20)$$

for an appropriate choice of $\gamma_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ and $d, \bar{d}_1, \bar{d}_2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2$ (as in ref. [8]) give rise to $U(1)$ -charged $\tilde{\alpha}_1$ - and $\tilde{\alpha}_n$ -breathers.

The simplest genuine $A_n^{(1)}(p=2)$ nontrivial (Q_1, Q_n) -charged 1-soliton solution (3.14)-(3.18) correspond to

$$g_{1n}^{(1)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4) = e^{d_1 \bar{F}_{1,1}(\gamma_2)} e^{d_2 \bar{F}_{1,1}^\dagger(\gamma_1)} e^{d_4 \bar{F}_{1,1}^\dagger(\gamma_4)} e^{d_3 \tilde{F}_{1,1}(\gamma_3)} \quad (4.21)$$

for $\gamma_1 = e^{B-i(\alpha+\pi)}$, $\gamma_2 = e^{B+i\alpha}$ and $d_1 d_2 = -d_3 d_4 = d_0$. The (Q_1, Q_n) -charged breather can be generated by taking

$$g_{1n}^{(1)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \gamma_5) = g_{1n}^{(1)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4) g_a^{(1)}(\gamma_5) \quad (4.22)$$

and special choice of $\gamma_l, l = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and the coefficients d_l . The multi-soliton solutions are indeed represented by $g^{(1)}$ that includes products of the corresponding 1-soliton vertices $g_{1n}^{(1)}$ and $g_a^{(1)}$, say

$$g^{(1)} = \prod_{s=1}^N g^{(1)}(\gamma_1^s, \gamma_2^s)$$

again with specific relations among the parameters $\gamma_1^s, \gamma_2^s, \bar{d}_1^s, \bar{d}_2^s, \tilde{d}_1^s, \tilde{d}_2^s$.

5 Dyonic $A_n^{(1)}(p=2, q)$ hierarchy

5.1 Constrained KP hierarchies of $A_n^{(1)}(p=2, q=2)$ dyonic type

Our motivation to introduce $A_n^{(1)}(p=2, q)$ dyonic hierarchy (of which $q = -1$ integrable model (1.2) is a member) and to study the relation between the 1-solitons of $q = -1$ and say $q = 2$, dyonic integrable models is twofold:

(a) as we shall show in section 5.3, the knowledge of (Q_1, Q_n) -charged 1-soliton (3.14), (3.18) of $q = -1$ model (1.2) allows to construct the corresponding 1-solitons of all $q \geq 2$

models by simple change of variables (both - the field ones: $r_a(x, t)$, $q_a(x, t)$, $u_l(x, t) \rightarrow \psi_a, \chi_a, \varphi_l$ - and the space-time ones: $\bar{z} \rightarrow x$, $\frac{z}{\gamma} \rightarrow t\gamma^q$);

(b) since the equations of motion of $q \geq 2$ models are much simpler than the $q = -1$ ones (that follows from (1.2)), the Hirota method [30], [10] (and the explicit derivation of $G_0 - \tau$ - functions) works more effectively in say, $q = 2$ case than in the $q = -1$ one.

This suggests that in construction of multisolitons and breathers to first elaborate them for $q = 2$ model and then to apply the above change of variables in order to find the corresponding solutions of the $q = -1$ model. The origin of the $A_n^{(1)}(p = 2, q)$ dyonic hierarchy is in the fact that keeping a part of $q = -1$ graded structure (2.9) : $Q, \epsilon_+, \mathcal{G}_0^0$ and replacing ϵ_- (of grade $q = -1$) by an arbitrary constant element D_q^q of Q -grade $q \geq -1$ such that ⁸

$$D_q^q \in Ker(ad\epsilon_{\pm}), \quad (D_{-1}^{-1} = \epsilon_-) \quad (5.1)$$

one can generate an infinite hierarchy of new integrable models. Their equations of motion have the following compact zero curvature form [16], [18]:

$$\partial_{t_q} D_0 - \partial_x D_q - [D_q, D_0] = 0 \quad (5.2)$$

where

$$D_0 = \bar{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{\partial} = \partial_x) = \epsilon_+ + (\partial_x g_0)g_0^{-1}, \quad D_q = \sum_{l=0}^q D_q^{(l)}, \quad D_q^{(l)} \in \mathcal{G}_l \quad (5.3)$$

An important difference with $q = -1$ case is that now the "physical fields" $r_a(x, t), q_a(x, t)$ and $u_l(x, t)$ parametrize the zero grade algebra $\mathcal{G}_0$ (and not the zero grade group element $g_0 \in G_0$ as in $q = -1$ case):

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = (\partial_x g_0)g_0^{-1} = \sum_{a=1, n} (r_a E_{-\alpha_a}^{(0)} + q_a E_{\alpha_a}^{(0)}) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-2} u_l h_{l+1}^{(0)} \quad (5.4)$$

The remaining grade $l = 0, 1, \dots, q-1$ "gauge potentials" $D_q^{(l)}$ introduce a set of "auxiliary fields" $V_k^{(0)}(x, t), V_k^{(1)}(x, t), etc$ with $k = 1, 2, \dots, n+4$, $n \geq 4$:

$$\begin{aligned} D_q^{(0)} &= \sum_{i=1}^n V_i^{(0)} h_i + V_{n+1}^{(0)} E_{\alpha_1}^{(0)} + V_{n+2}^{(0)} E_{\alpha_n}^{(0)} + V_{n+3}^{(0)} E_{-\alpha_1}^{(0)} + V_{n+4}^{(0)} E_{-\alpha_n}^{(0)}, \\ D_q^{(1)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} V_i^{(1)} E_{\alpha_{i+1}}^{(0)} + V_{n-1}^{(1)} E_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}^{(0)} + V_n^{(1)} E_{\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n}^{(0)} + V_{n+1}^{(1)} E_{-(\alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1})}^{(1)} \\ &+ V_{n+2}^{(1)} E_{-(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1})}^{(0)} + V_{n+3}^{(1)} E_{-(\alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n)}^{(0)} + V_{n+4}^{(1)} E_{-(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n)}^{(0)}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

etc. Writting eqs. (5.1) for each grade $0, 1, \dots, q+1$ we get the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} [D_q^{(q)}, \epsilon_+] &= 0 \\ \partial_x D_q^{(q)} + [D_q^{(q)}, \mathcal{A}_0] + [D_q^{(q-1)}, \epsilon_+] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

⁸The additional condition $[D_q^q, \epsilon_-] = 0$ is imposed in order to obtain local equations of motion and allows to relate the 1-solitons of q -model to the ones of $q = -1$ model

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_x D_q^{(q-1)} + [D_q^{(q-1)}, \mathcal{A}_0] + [D_q^{(q-2)}, \epsilon_+] &= 0 \\
&\vdots \\
\partial_x D_q^{(1)} + [D_q^{(1)}, \mathcal{A}_0] + [D_q^{(0)}, \epsilon_+] &= 0 \\
\partial_{t_q} \mathcal{A}_0 - \partial_x D_q^{(0)} - [D_q^{(0)}, \mathcal{A}_0] &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

The recipe in deriving the system of differential equations (of order q in x) for r_a, q_a, u_l consists in first determining $D_q^{(q)} \in \text{Ker}(ad\epsilon_\pm)$ (i.e. starting from the first equation). For, say, $q = 2$ we obtain ($n \geq 4$)

$$D_2^{(2)} = -b_2^{(2)} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n-3} E_{\alpha_{i+1}+\alpha_{i+2}}^{(0)} - \sum_{i=1}^2 E_{-(\alpha_{i+1}+\dots+\alpha_{i+n-3})}^{(1)} \tag{5.7}$$

where $b_2^{(2)}$ is a particular case of $b_{a+m(n-1)}^a$, $a = 2, m = 0$ given by eqn. (4.9). Substituting eq. (5.7) in the second of the equations (5.6) we find a part of the auxiliary fields $V_k^{(q-1)}$ parametrizing $\text{Im}(ad\epsilon_+)$ in terms of r_a, q_a, u_l . The third equation determines the remaining part of $V_k^{(q-1)}$ lying in the $\text{Ker}(ad\epsilon_+)$ and those fields $V_k^{(q-2)}$ lying in the $\text{Im}(ad(\epsilon_+))$, etc. Applying this procedure for the case $q = 2$ we find the following system of equations defining the $A_n^{(1)}(p = 2, q = 2)$ nonrelativistic dyonic integrable model:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t_2} q_1 - \partial_x^2 q_1 + \frac{2q_1}{n-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \partial_x u_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,j=1}^{n-2} k_{kj} u_k u_j + r_1 q_1 + r_n q_n \right) &= 0 \\
\partial_{t_2} r_1 + \partial_x^2 r_1 - \frac{2r_1}{n-1} \left((1-n) \partial_x u_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \partial_x u_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,j=1}^{n-2} k_{kj} u_k u_j + r_1 q_1 + r_n q_n \right) &= 0 \\
\partial_{t_2} q_n + \partial_x^2 q_n - \frac{2q_n}{n-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \partial_x u_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,j=1}^{n-2} k_{kj} u_k u_j + r_1 q_1 + r_n q_n \right) &= 0 \\
\partial_{t_2} r_n - \partial_x^2 r_n + \frac{2r_n}{n-1} \left((1-n) \partial_x u_{n-2} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \partial_x u_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,j=1}^{n-2} k_{kj} u_k u_j + r_1 q_1 + r_n q_n \right) &= 0 \\
\partial_{t_2} u_l + \partial_x V_l^{(0)} = 0, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, n-2, &
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
V_l^{(0)} &= \frac{1}{n-1} (\partial_x L_l + M_l) \\
L_l &= 2(n-l-1) \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} u_k + (n-2l-1) u_l - 2l \sum_{k=l+1}^{n-2} u_k, \\
M_l &= 2((n-l-1)r_1 q_1 - l r_n q_n) + (n-l-1) \sum_{i,j=1}^l k_{ij} u_i u_j - l \sum_{i,j=l}^{n-2} k_{ij} u_i u_j - (n-2l-1) u_l^2
\end{aligned}$$

We take the $q = 2$ $A_n^{(1)}(p = 2)$ integrable model defined by the above system of equations as a representative of $A_n^{(1)}(p = 2)$ positive grade $q \geq 2$ dyonic hierarchy to further study

the relation between its soliton solutions with the 1-solitons (3.14)-(3.18) of $q = -1$ dyonic model.

The simplest case $n = 3$ should be treated separately. Taking $D_2^{(s)}(n = 3)$, $s = 0, 1, 2$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} D_2^{(2)}(n = 3) &= (\lambda_3 - \lambda_1) \cdot H^{(1)} \\ D_2^{(1)}(n = 3) &= q_1 E_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}^{(0)} + r_1 E_{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}^{(1)} + q_3 E_{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3}^{(0)} - r_3 E_{-\alpha_2 - \alpha_3}^{(1)} \\ D_2^{(0)}(n = 3) &= (\partial_x q_1 + q_1 u) E_{\alpha_1}^{(0)} - (\partial_x q_3 + q_3 u) E_{\alpha_3}^{(0)} - (\partial_x r_1 - r_1 u) E_{-\alpha_1}^{(0)} \\ &\quad + (\partial_x r_3 - r_3 u) E_{-\alpha_3}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} (r_1 q_1 - r_3 q_3) h_2^{(0)} \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

we get the explicit form (5.15) of the equations of motion for the $A_2^{(1)}(p = q = 2)$ nonrelativistic IM.

5.2 Charged 1-solitons of $q = 2$ model

The construction of the soliton solutions for each member of $A_n(p = 2, q)$ hierarchy by vertex operator method is quite similar to the $q = -1$ case described in Section 4. The main difference is in the form of the vacua (constant) solutions:

$$D_q^{(v)} = D_q^{(q)}, \quad D_0^{(v)} = \epsilon_+, \quad T_q^{(v)} = e^{-t_q D_q^{(q)}} e^{x \epsilon_+} \quad (5.10)$$

(for $q = 2$ $D_2^{(2)}$ is given by grade 2 constant element (5.7)) and in the choice of new set of $T_v g^{(1)} T_v^{-1}$ -matrix elements (different from (4.7) for $q = -1$ case) representing "physical fields" r_a, q_a, u_l . Note that the form of $g^{(1)}$ (say (4.18-4.21)) remains unchanged since $[D_q^{(q)}, \epsilon_{\pm}] = 0$, henceforth they share the same set of eigenvectors (4.12), (4.13). Following the dressing procedure of Sect. 4.1 we find for $q = 2$ model:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{v(0)} &= B, \quad (\partial_x B) B^{-1} = \mathcal{A}_0 = [t(-1), \epsilon_+] \\ t(-1) &= - \sum_{l=1}^{n-2} (u_l + \partial_x \tilde{\nu}) E_{-\alpha_{l+1}}^{(0)} - r_1 E_{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}^{(0)} + r_n E_{-\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n}^{(0)} \\ &\quad + q_1 E_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}}^{(-1)} - q_n E_{\alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n}^{(-1)} + \partial_x \tilde{\nu} E_{\alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}}^{(-1)} + W E_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n}^{(-1)} \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

where $W(t, x)$ is an arbitrary function since $E_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n}^{(-1)} \in \text{Ker}(ad \epsilon_+)$; $\tilde{\nu} = \nu - \mu^2 x t$. Taking into account the explicit form (5.11) of $v(0)$, $t(-1)$ and $\theta_{\pm}$ (and the definition of the highest weight states $|\lambda_j\rangle, \langle \lambda_j|$) we consider appropriate matrix elements of eqs. (4.5) in order to extract the τ -functions representing r_a, q_a, u_l :

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_0 \equiv e^{\tilde{\nu}} &= \langle \lambda_0 | T_v g^{(1)} T_v^{-1} | \lambda_0 \rangle, \\ \tau_{1, (n-1)} \equiv -q_1 e^{\tilde{\nu}} &= \langle \lambda_0 | E_{-\alpha_1 - \dots - \alpha_{n-1}}^{(1)} T_v g^{(1)} T_v^{-1} | \lambda_0 \rangle, \\ \tau_{2n} \equiv q_n e^{\tilde{\nu}} &= \langle \lambda_0 | E_{-\alpha_2 - \dots - \alpha_n}^{(1)} T_v g^{(1)} T_v^{-1} | \lambda_0 \rangle, \\ \tau_{12} \equiv r_1 e^{\Phi(\lambda_1)} &= \langle \lambda_1 | E_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}^{(0)} T_v g^{(1)} T_v^{-1} | \lambda_1 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{n-1,n} &\equiv -r_n e^{\Phi(\lambda_n)} = \langle \lambda_n | E_{\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_n}^{(0)} T_v g^{(1)} T_v^{-1} | \lambda_n \rangle, \\ \tau_l &\equiv e^{\Phi(\lambda_l)} = \langle \lambda_l | T_v g^{(1)} T_v^{-1} | \lambda_l \rangle, l = 2, 3, \dots, n-1\end{aligned}\quad (5.12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(\lambda_1) &= \lambda_1^2 R_1 + \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_n R_n + \tilde{\nu}, \quad \Phi(\lambda_n) = \lambda_n^2 R_n + \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_n R_1 + \tilde{\nu} \\ \Phi(\lambda_l) &= \varphi_{l-1} + \lambda_l \cdot \lambda_1 R_1 + \lambda_l \cdot \lambda_n R_n + \tilde{\nu}, l = 2, \dots, n-1 \\ \lambda_l \cdot \lambda_n &= \frac{l}{n+1}, \quad \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_l = \frac{n+1-l}{n+1}\end{aligned}\quad (5.13)$$

Therefore the soliton solutions of $A_n^{(1)}(p=2, q=2)$ IM (5.8) can be written in terms of the above τ -functions as follows

$$\begin{aligned}r_1 &= -\frac{\tau_{12}}{\tau_1}; \quad r_n = \frac{\tau_{n-1,n}}{\tau_n}; \quad q_1 = \frac{\tau_{1,(n-1)}}{\tau_0}; \quad q_n = -\frac{\tau_{2n}}{\tau_0}; \\ u_l &= \partial_x \ln \frac{\tau_{l+1}}{\tau_0}, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, n-2.\end{aligned}\quad (5.14)$$

It remains to calculate the matrix elements (5.12) for say, $g^{(1)}$ represented by 4-vertex describing (Q_1, Q_n) -charged 1-soliton. We shall present here the explicit form of this (4-vertex) solution for the simplest case $n=3, q=2$ only, since the general case of $U(1) \times U(1)$ -charged 1-soliton of $A_n(p=2, q=2)$ system (5.8) $n=3, 4, \dots$ will be derived in Sect. 5.3 by applying the vacua Bäcklund transformation method of Sect. 3. For $n=3$ the system (5.8) gets the following simple form ($t=t_2$):

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t q_1 - \partial_x^2 q_1 + q_1 \partial_x u + q_1 u^2 + r_1 q_1^2 + r_3 q_1 q_3 &= 0 \\ \partial_t r_1 + \partial_x^2 r_1 + r_1 \partial_x u - r_1 u^2 - r_1^2 q_1 - r_1 r_3 q_3 &= 0 \\ \partial_t q_3 + \partial_x^2 q_3 - q_3 \partial_x u - q_3 u^2 - r_3 q_3^2 - r_1 q_1 q_3 &= 0 \\ \partial_t r_3 - \partial_x^2 r_3 - r_3 \partial_x u + r_3 u^2 + r_3^2 q_3 + r_3 r_1 q_1 &= 0 \\ \partial_t u + \partial_x (r_1 q_1) - \partial_x (r_3 q_3) &= 0\end{aligned}\quad (5.15)$$

Its charged 1-solution (4-vertex) solution is obtained by simple algebraic manipulations (involving $A_3^{(1)}$ -algebra)

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_0 &= 1 + (c_{12} b_1 b_2 + c_{34} b_3 b_4) \rho_1 \rho_2 \\ \tau_2 &= 1 + (d_{12} b_1 b_2 + d_{34} b_3 b_4) \rho_1 \rho_2 \\ \tau_{1,n-1} &= b_1 f_1 \rho_1 \quad \tau_{1,2} = b_2 e_2 \rho_2 \\ \tau_{2n} &= b_4 i_4 \rho_2 \quad \tau_{n-1,n} = b_3 g_3 \rho_1\end{aligned}\quad (5.16)$$

where

$$\rho_1(\gamma_1) = e^{-x\gamma_1 + t\gamma_1^2}, \quad \rho_2(\gamma_2) = e^{x\gamma_2 - t\gamma_2^2}\quad (5.17)$$

$$c_{12} = \frac{2\gamma_1 \gamma_2^2}{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)^2 (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)} = d_{34}, \quad c_{34} = \frac{2\gamma_1^2 \gamma_2}{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)^2 (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)} = d_{12}$$

$$f_1 = \sqrt{2}\gamma_1 = g_3, \quad e_2 = \sqrt{2}\gamma_2 = i_4 \quad (5.18)$$

The above solution is a particular case of the more general (4-parameter γ_i) 4-vertex (2-soliton) solution, given by eq. (8.2)- (8.6) of the Appendix. The restrictions

$$\gamma_1 = \gamma_3, \quad \gamma_4 = \gamma_2 \quad \gamma_1 = -\gamma_2^* = e^{B+i\alpha} \quad (5.19)$$

we have imposed on it, ensure that the solution (5.16-5.19) represents finite (positive) energy (Q_1, Q_n) -charged topological 1-soliton, as we shall show in next section 5.4. One can easily verify by substituting r_a, q_a, u (5.14) (written in terms of τ -functions (5.16) or (8.2)) in the system (5.15) that they indeed satisfy equations (5.15), i. e. that τ -function method provides solutions of this system. It is important to note that for $n = 3$ and $q > 2$ arbitrary integer (i. e. $A_3^{(1)}(p = 2, q)$ IM) the form of the corresponding 1-soliton solutions is the same as in $q = 2$ case given by eqs. (5.16), (5.18) with the only difference that $\rho_1^{(q)}$ and $\rho_2^{(q)}$ have been changed to:

$$\rho_1^{(q)}(\gamma) = e^{-x\gamma + t_q \gamma^q}, \quad \rho_2^{(q)}(\gamma) = e^{x\gamma - t_q \gamma^q} \quad (5.20)$$

The system of equations for $A_3^{(1)}(p = 2, q = 2)$ model is indeed of order q in ∂_x (i. e. the higher derivative is ∂_x^q) and involves more products of derivatives terms than $q = 2$ system (5.15).

5.3 1-Soliton relations: $q = 2$ vs. $q = -1$ solitons

The common graded algebraic structure of $q = -1$ and $q = 2$ $A_n^{(1)}(p = 2)$ integrable models address the question whether one can construct $q = 2$ solitons in terms of corresponding $q = -1$ ones. By comparing $q = -1$ and $q = 2$ dressing transformations (4.1), the form of $T_v g^{(1)} T_v^{-1}$ matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned} T_v(q) e^{bF(\gamma)} T_v^{-1}(q) &= e^{b\rho_q(\gamma)F(\gamma)}, \quad q = -1, 2 \\ T_v(q = -1) &= e^{-z\epsilon_-} e^{\bar{z}\epsilon_+}, \quad T_v(q = 2) = e^{-t_2 B_2^{(2)}} e^{x\epsilon_+} \\ \rho_{(-1)}(\gamma) &= \exp\left(-\frac{z}{\gamma} + \bar{z}\gamma\right), \quad \rho_{(2)}(\gamma) = \exp\left(-t_2 \gamma^2 + x\gamma\right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

and remembering that $F(\gamma) = \{\bar{F}_{1,l}, \tilde{F}_{1,l}, F_{a,\gamma}, F^\pm\}$ do not depend on q , we observe that the only difference between $q = -1$ and $q = 2$ τ -functions (4.7), (5.12) is in the factors $\rho_q(\gamma)$. Therefore the simple change of variables

$$\bar{z} \rightarrow x, \quad \frac{z}{\gamma} \rightarrow t_2 \gamma^2 \quad (5.22)$$

transforms the $q = -1$ τ -functions in the corresponding $q = 2$ ones. The problem however is that $q = 2$ fields $r_a(x, t), q_a(x, t), u_l(x, t)$ and $q = -1$ ones $\psi_a(z, \bar{z}), \chi_a(z, \bar{z}), \varphi_l(z, \bar{z})$ are represented by different sets of G_0 - τ -functions. This reflects the fact that by definition $q = 2$ field variables parametrize the $\mathcal{G}_0$ -algebra

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = (\partial_x B) B^{-1} = \sum_{a=1,n} (r_a E_{-\alpha_a}^{(0)} + q_a E_{\alpha_a}^{(0)}) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-2} u_l h_{l+1}^{(0)} + \partial_x \tilde{\nu} c \quad (5.23)$$

while the $q = -1$ fields are related to G_0 -group element

$$B = \exp\left(\sum_{a=1,n} \tilde{\chi}_a E_{-\alpha_a}^{(0)}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n-2} \varphi_l h_{l+1}^{(0)} + \lambda_1 \cdot H R_1 + \lambda_n \cdot H R_n + \tilde{\nu} c\right) \exp\left(\sum_{a=1,n} \tilde{\psi}_a E_{\alpha_a}^{(0)}\right) \quad (5.24)$$

In order to make this relation explicit we introduce the $q = -1$ $\mathcal{G}_0$ -WZW currents $\tilde{r}_a(z, \bar{z})$, $\tilde{q}_a(z, \bar{z})$, $\tilde{u}_l(z, \bar{z})$:

$$(\bar{\partial} B) B^{-1} = \sum_{a=1,n} (\tilde{r}_a E_{-\alpha_a}^{(0)} + \tilde{q}_a E_{\alpha_a}^{(0)}) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-2} \tilde{u}_l h_{l+1}^{(0)} + \bar{\partial} \tilde{\nu} c \quad (5.25)$$

and realize them in terms of $\psi_a(z, \bar{z})$, $\chi_a(z, \bar{z})$, $\varphi_l(z, \bar{z})$, $R_a(z, \bar{z})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_l &= \bar{\partial} \left\{ \varphi_l + \frac{n-l}{n+1} R_1 + \frac{l+1}{n+1} R_n \right\}, \quad l = 1, \dots, n-2 \\ \tilde{q}_1 &= e^{\frac{1}{2} R_1 - \varphi_1} (\bar{\partial} \psi_1 - \frac{1}{2} \psi_1 \bar{\partial} R_1) \\ \tilde{q}_n &= e^{\frac{1}{2} R_n - \varphi_{n-2}} (\bar{\partial} \psi_n - \frac{1}{2} \psi_n \bar{\partial} R_n) \\ \tilde{r}_1 &= e^{-\frac{1}{2} R_1} \{ \bar{\partial} \chi_1 - \chi_1 \bar{\partial} \varphi_1 \\ &\quad - e^{-\varphi_1} \chi_1^2 \bar{\partial} \psi_1 + \frac{\chi_1}{2} (1 + \psi_1 \chi_1 e^{-\varphi_1}) \bar{\partial} R_1 \} \\ \tilde{r}_n &= e^{-\frac{1}{2} R_n} \{ \bar{\partial} \chi_n - \chi_n \bar{\partial} \varphi_{n-2} \\ &\quad - e^{-\varphi_{n-2}} \chi_n^2 \bar{\partial} \psi_n + \frac{\chi_n}{2} (1 + \psi_n \chi_n e^{-\varphi_{n-2}}) \bar{\partial} R_n \} \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

For (Q_1, Q_n) -1-solitons (i.e. 4-vertex solution) the complicated $\tilde{r}_a, \tilde{q}_a$ expressions considerably simplifies, due to 1st order soliton equations (3.3) :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}_1 &= \frac{1}{\gamma} \psi_1 e^{\frac{1}{2} R_1 - \frac{1}{n+1} (R_1 - R_n)}, \quad \tilde{q}_n = \frac{1}{\gamma} \psi_n e^{\frac{1}{2} R_n + \frac{1}{n+1} (R_1 - R_n)} \\ \tilde{r}_1 &= \frac{1}{\gamma} \chi_1 e^{-\varphi_1 - \frac{1}{2} R_1 + \frac{1}{n+1} (R_1 - R_n)}, \quad \tilde{r}_n = \frac{1}{\gamma} \chi_n e^{-\varphi_{n-2} - \frac{1}{2} R_n + \frac{1}{n+1} (R_1 - R_n)} \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

Since by definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_a(x, t) &= \tilde{q}_a(\bar{z} \rightarrow x, \frac{z}{\gamma} \rightarrow t\gamma^2) \\ r_a(x, t) &= \tilde{r}_a(\bar{z} \rightarrow x, \frac{z}{\gamma} \rightarrow t\gamma^2) \\ u_l(x, t) &= \tilde{u}_l(\bar{z} \rightarrow x, \frac{z}{\gamma} \rightarrow t\gamma^2) \end{aligned}$$

once the $q = -1$ one-soliton solution is known (3.14), (3.15), (3.18) , then eqs. (5.27) (together with the change of variables (5.22)) determine the corresponding $q = 2$ one-soliton solution. The same is true for generic (say, multisoliton) solutions , but in this case one has to use more complicated relations (5.26) between $\mathcal{G}_0$ -currents $\tilde{r}_a, \tilde{q}_a, \tilde{u}_l$ and G_0 -fields

$\psi_a, \chi_a, \varphi_l, R_a$. Let us consider the simplest case of $n = 3$ in order to demonstrate the relation (5.27) between $q = 2$ and $q = -1$ one-solitons established above. We take $q = 2$ ($n = 3$) 1-soliton in the form (5.14) with τ -functions given by eqs. (5.16), (5.18), (5.19). We next change the variables x, t_2 to $z, \bar{z}$ (and $\rho_{\pm}$ that appears in $\rho(\gamma)$ according to eqs. (5.22)). Finally we apply eqs. (5.27) replacing $\psi_a, \chi_a, \varphi_1 = \varphi, R_a$, ($a = 1, 3$) by its explicit form of $q = -1$ model one-solitons (3.13)-(3.18). As a result we find the following expressions of the $q = 2$ one-soliton parameters b_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) in terms of the $q = -1$ ones $D, d_1, d_2, 2\delta = d_1 + d_2, \alpha, p_1$:

$$b_1 = b_3 e^{d_1} \quad b_2 = b_4 e^{d_2}$$

$$b_1 = \frac{D^{\frac{1}{2}} N e^{-ip_1 + \delta}}{\sqrt{2}(1 - e^{-2\delta + 2i\alpha})}, \quad b_2 = \frac{D^{\frac{1}{2}} N e^{i(p_1 - \alpha) + \delta}}{\sqrt{2}(1 - e^{-2\delta + 2i\alpha})} \quad (5.28)$$

and the inverse relations:

$$b_{\pm} = b_1 b_2 (1 \pm e^{2\delta}), \quad b_{\pm} = b_1 b_2 \pm b_3 b_4$$

$$e^{-2\delta} = \frac{b_+ + b_-}{b_+ - b_-}, \quad D = \frac{b_+ + b_-}{b_+ - b_-} e^{i\alpha} - e^{-i\alpha}, \quad e^{-2ip_1} = \frac{b_1}{b_2} e^{i\alpha} \quad (5.29)$$

and the appropriate choice of the soliton center of mass X_0 . Similar relations between the charged 1-solitons of $q = 2$ and $q = -1$ $A_n(p = 1)$ dyonic models (with one $U(1)$ symmetry) have been established in our recent paper [20].

5.4 Conserved charges

As in the relativistic $q = -1$ $A_n^{(1)}(p = 2)$ model (1.2) (see Section 3.3) the soliton spectrum of $q = 2$ $A_n^{(1)}(p = 2)$ IM (5.8) is determined by the first few conserved charges (out of the infinite set of commuting charges) namely—"topological charges (fluxes)" Q_l , "particle density" $\tilde{Q}_0$, momenta $\mathcal{P}$, energy $\mathcal{E}$. They all indeed depend on the asymptotic values of G_0 -group element fields: $\tilde{\psi}_a, \tilde{\chi}_a, \tilde{\varphi}_l, \tilde{R}_a$ at $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ only. Since the boundary values of $\tilde{\varphi}_l$ and $\tilde{R}_a$, ($\tilde{\psi}_a(\pm\infty) = \tilde{\chi}_a(\pm\infty) = 0$) and $\tilde{r}_a(\pm\infty) = \tilde{q}_a(\pm\infty) = 0$ define completely the topological charges $\tilde{Q}_l$, as in the $q = -1$ case all the other charges appears to be certain functions of these $\tilde{Q}_l$ and the soliton velocity γ . The problem we address in this section is (a) to calculate these charges for our 1-soliton solutions and (b) to find the explicit relation between the conserved charges of $q = -1$ and $q = 2$ IM's. First step is to derive the $A_n(p = 2, q = 2)$ IM conserved currents. According to its equations of motion (5.8) the fields u_l represents the simplest conserved currents

$$T^{(l)} = u_l, \quad \partial_t u_l = -\partial_x V_l^{(0)}, \quad \tilde{Q}_l = \int dx u_l \quad (5.30)$$

Taking into account the eqs. (5.8) once more one can easily verify that

$$T_0 = r_1 q_1 + r_n q_n + \frac{1}{2} k_{ij} u_i u_j, \quad \tilde{Q}_0 = \int dx T_0 \quad (5.31)$$

defines the "particle density" conserved current. The momenta and energy densities have more complicated form and for $n = 3$ model (see eqs.(5.15)), they are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} T_x &= r_1 \partial_x q_1 - q_1 \partial_x r_1 - r_3 \partial_x q_3 + q_3 \partial_x r_3 - 2u(r_1 q_1 - r_3 q_3), \quad \mathcal{P} = \int dx T_x \\ T_t &= 2(\partial_x r_1 \partial_x q_1 + \partial_x r_3 \partial_x q_3) + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x u)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(u^2 + r_1 q_1 + r_3 q_3)^2 + 2r_1 q_1 r_3 q_3 \\ &\quad + u(r_1 \partial_x q_1 - q_1 \partial_x r_1 + r_3 \partial_x q_3 - q_3 \partial_x r_3), \quad \mathcal{E} = \int dx T_t \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

The systematic way of deriving all the conserved currents (higher Hamiltonians) as well as their representations as total ∂_x -derivatives consists in applying the dressing transformations (4.1) to calculate certain traces of $t(-k)$ (remember $\theta_- = \Pi_{i=0}^\infty e^{t(-i)}$). Starting from the vacua dressing:

$$\epsilon_+ + \bar{\partial} B B^{-1} = \theta_{\epsilon_+} \theta^{-1} + (\bar{\partial} \theta_-) \theta_-^{-1} \quad (5.33)$$

and writting it grade by grade (i.e. expanding in λ^{-k}) we obtain:

$$\bar{\partial} B B^{-1} = [t(-1), \epsilon_+] = \mathcal{A}_0, \quad (5.34)$$

$$[\epsilon_+, t(-2)] = -\frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{A}_0, t(-1)] + \bar{\partial} t(-1), \quad (5.35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\epsilon_+, t(-3)] &= -[\mathcal{A}_0, t(-2)] - \frac{1}{6} [[\mathcal{A}_0, t(-1)], t(-1)] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} [\bar{\partial} t(-1), t(-1)] + \bar{\partial} t(-2), \end{aligned} \quad (5.36)$$

etc. We next multiply eq. (5.35) by ϵ_+ and by taking trace we get:

$$T_0 = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \mathcal{A}_0^2 = \partial_x \text{Tr}(\epsilon_+ t(-1)) \quad (5.37)$$

which reproduces eq. (5.31). Taking into account the explicit form (5.11) of $t(-1)$ we further conclude that

$$T_0 = -\partial_x \left(\sum_{l=1}^{n-2} u_l \right) - (n-1) \partial_x^2 \tilde{\nu} \quad (5.38)$$

One can continue this procedure and to determine $t(-2)$ from (5.35), substituting it in eq. (5.36) and by taking trace with $b_2^{(2)}$ from eq. (5.7) (and eqn. (5.9) for $n = 3$ case) to derive the explicit form of T_x , etc.

We next consider the problem of calculation of $q = 2$ model (5.8) conserved charges $\tilde{Q}_l$ and $\tilde{Q}_0$ and their relation to $q = -1$ model (1.2) charges Q_{mag}, Q_1, Q_n and E, P, M . According to eq. (5.34) we have

$$u_l = \beta \bar{\partial} (\tilde{\varphi}_l + \frac{n-l}{n+1} \tilde{R}_1 + \frac{l+1}{n+1} \tilde{R}_n), \quad \bar{\partial} = \partial_x \quad (5.39)$$

and therefore the corresponding charges $\tilde{Q}_l$ are determined by the asymptotic values of the fields $\tilde{\varphi}_l, \tilde{R}_a$

$$\tilde{\varphi}_l(\pm\infty) = \frac{2\pi l}{\beta(n-1)} \tilde{N}_\pm, \quad \tilde{R}_a(\pm\infty) = \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \tilde{f}_\pm(a) \quad (5.40)$$

We have assumed that for t (and t_2) fixed (say to zero) the $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ limits of the fields $\tilde{\varphi}_l(x_2, t_2)$, $\tilde{R}_a(x_2, t_2)$ and $\varphi_l(z, \bar{z})$, $R_a(z, \bar{z})$ do coincide. As a result we realize $\tilde{Q}_l$ in terms of the $q = -1$ topological and electric charges Q_{mag}, Q_1, Q_n (2.28), (2.34) as follows

$$\tilde{Q}_l = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} [l(4\pi j_\varphi - Q_1 + Q_n) + (n-1)Q_1] \quad (5.41)$$

In order to calculate $\tilde{Q}_0$ and its relation with E, P, M of the $q = -1$ model, we represent T_0 (5.31) as total ($\partial_x \equiv \tilde{\partial}$) derivative by applying 1st order soliton equations(3.3) and $r_a, q_a, u_l \leftrightarrow \tilde{\psi}_a, \tilde{\chi}_a, \Phi_l$ relations (5.26), (5.27):

$$T_0 = \frac{1}{\gamma^2} V_{rel} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\mu}{\beta^2} \tilde{\partial} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\beta \Phi_k} + \beta^2 \tilde{\psi}_n \tilde{\chi}_n \right) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\mu}{\beta^2} \partial_{x_2} \tilde{F}, \quad (5.42)$$

where V_{rel} is the potential $V_n^{(p=2)}$. Note that the $q = 2$ coupling constant $\kappa = \frac{\mu}{\beta^2}$ appears in (5.42) as a result of simple rescaling

$$(r_a, q_a, u_l) \rightarrow (\sqrt{\kappa} r_a, \sqrt{\kappa} q_a, \sqrt{\kappa} u_l) \quad (5.43)$$

We next remind the form of the $q = -1$ model stress tensor (T_{00}, T_{01}) derived in Sect. 3:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{00} &= -(\gamma + \frac{1}{\gamma}) \frac{\mu}{\beta^2} \partial_x \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\beta \Phi_k} + \beta^2 \tilde{\psi}_n \tilde{\chi}_n \right) \\ T_{01} &= (-\gamma + \frac{1}{\gamma}) \frac{\mu}{\beta^2} \partial_x \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\beta \Phi_k} + \beta^2 \tilde{\psi}_n \tilde{\chi}_n \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.44)$$

where we have used the relation

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} F^- + \gamma F^+ = (n-1)c_0 \quad (5.45)$$

(that follows from eqs.(3.4) and $F^\pm$ definitions). By comparing (5.42) to eq.(5.44) we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \int T_{00} dx = -\gamma(\gamma + \frac{1}{\gamma}) \tilde{Q}_0, & P &= \int T_{01} dx = -\gamma(\gamma - \frac{1}{\gamma}) \tilde{Q}_0 \\ \tilde{Q}_0 &= \int dx_2 T_0 = \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\mu}{\beta^2} \int dx_2 \partial_{x_2} \tilde{F}, & \tilde{F} &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{-\beta \Phi_k} + \beta^2 \tilde{\psi}_n \tilde{\chi}_n \end{aligned} \quad (5.46)$$

where $\gamma = e^{-b}$ is related to the 1-soliton velocity of $q = -1$ model by

$$\gamma = \left(\frac{1 - v_{rel}}{1 + v_{rel}} \right)^{1/2}, \quad v_{rel} = thb \quad (5.47)$$

Therefore the $q = 2$ charge $\tilde{Q}_0$ is proportional to the $q = -1$ soliton mass M :

$$\tilde{Q}_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1 + v_{rel}}{1 - v_{rel}} \right)^{1/2} M = \frac{2\mu(n-1)}{\beta_0^2 \gamma} \left| \sin \frac{[\beta_0^2 Q_{mag} - Q_1 + Q_n]}{4(n-1)} \right| \quad (5.48)$$

The form (5.46) of $\tilde{Q}_0$ -charge

$$\tilde{Q}_0 = \frac{\kappa}{\gamma} \tilde{F}|_{-\infty}^{\infty} \quad (5.49)$$

suggests that it can be realized in terms of the u_l -charges $\tilde{Q}_l$ only. We next observe that

$$\tilde{Q}_1 - \tilde{Q}_{n-2} = \frac{n-3}{2(n-1)} (\beta_0^2 Q_{mag} - Q_1 + Q_n) \quad (5.50)$$

as one can see from eq. (5.41). Substituting it in eq.(5.48) we find ($n \neq 3$)

$$\tilde{Q}_0 = \frac{2(n-1)\kappa}{\gamma} \left| \sin \frac{\tilde{Q}_1 - \tilde{Q}_{n-2}}{2(n-3)} \right| \quad (5.51)$$

For particular case $n = 3$ the analog of the above relation include also the additional non-local charge $Q_1 = \frac{4\pi}{\beta} (f_+^{(1)} - f_-^{(1)})$:

$$\tilde{Q}_0(n=3) = \frac{4\kappa}{\gamma} \left| \sin \left(\frac{\tilde{Q}_1}{2} + \frac{Q_1}{4} \right) \right| \quad (5.52)$$

The complete 1-soliton spectrum $(\tilde{Q}_l, \tilde{Q}_0, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{E})$ of $q = 2$ model (5.8) requires the evaluation of the soliton momenta $\mathcal{P}$ and energy $\mathcal{E}$ (5.32) as well. The same procedure (1^{st} order soliton equations etc.) we have used in $\tilde{Q}_0$ calculation can be applied to the T_x and T_t . We shall present the complete soliton spectrum of our $q = 2$ $A_n^{(1)}(p = 2)$ IM's in future publication.

6 Dyonic IMs with non-abelian symmetries

We have established in Sect.1 and 2, that the specific choice of the $A_n^{(1)}$ graded structure $(Q, \epsilon_{\pm}, \mathcal{G}_0^0)$ (2.9) giving rise to the dyonic $A_n^{(1)}(p = 2)$ IM (1.2) led to topological solitons carrying internal (Noether) charges $U(1) \otimes U(1)$. They appear as natural generalization of the simplest $A_n^{(1)}(p = 1)$ dyonic IM (1.1) (see refs. [7], [8]) and correspond to a particular member of a $U(1)^p$ -dyonic family of IMs $A_n^{(1)}(p)$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Consider for example the NA-Toda models defined by the graded structure similar to (2.7) i.e.

$$Q = (n-2p)d + \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_{2i} \cdot H + \sum_{j=2p+1}^{n-1} \lambda_j \cdot H \quad (6.1)$$

and

$$\epsilon_{\pm} = \sum_{i=2p+1}^{n-1} E_{\pm \alpha_i}^{(0)} + E_{\mp(\alpha_{2p} + \alpha_{2p+1} + \dots + \alpha_{n-1})}^{(\pm 1)} \quad (6.2)$$

Since the zero grade subalgebra $\mathcal{G}_0$ is given by

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = SL(2)^{p+1} \otimes U(1)^{n-p-1}, \quad \mathcal{G}_0^0 = U(1)^{p+1} \quad (6.3)$$

the field content of the corresponding $\mathcal{G}_0^0$ -gauged IM consists in $(p+1)$ -pairs of charged fields ψ_a, χ_a , $a = 1, 2, \dots, p+1$ and $n-p-1$ neutral fields φ_l , $l = 1, 2, \dots, n-p-1$. The effective

action for these models can be derived using the methods described in Sect.2. The potential have $n - 2p - 1$ distinct zeros (for imaginary coupling) and the IM admits $U(1)^{p+1}$ -charged topological solitons.

Having constructed the $U(1)^p$ dyonic IM $A_n^{(1)}(p)$, $1 \leq p \leq n - 1$ with *abelian* internal symmetry, the question of constructing IM with *non-abelian* internal structure arises naturally. It is clear that one has to choose Q and $\epsilon_{\pm}$ such that $\mathcal{G}_0^0 \in \mathcal{G}_0$ to be non-abelian. For an appropriate choice of the potential (i.e. of $\epsilon_{\pm}$ and g_0 , since $V = \text{Tr}(\epsilon_+ g_0 \epsilon_- g_0^{-1})$), the model admits topological solitons carrying non-abelian charges (isospin). The simplest case is to consider $\mathcal{G}_0^0 = U(2) \in \mathcal{G}_0 = SL(3) \otimes U(1)^{n-2}$ obtained by decomposing the $A_n^{(1)}$ algebra by the grading operator,

$$Q = (n - 1)d + \sum_{i=3}^n \lambda_i \cdot H, \quad \epsilon_{\pm} = \sum_{i=3}^n E_{\alpha_i}^{(0)} + E_{\mp(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \dots + \alpha_n)}^{(\pm 1)} \quad (6.4)$$

where $\mathcal{G}_0^0 = \{E_{\pm\alpha_1}^{(0)}, \lambda_1 \cdot H, \lambda_3 \cdot H\}$. The model represents an $SL(3)/SL(2) \otimes U(1)$ analog of the complex sine-Gordon ($SL(2)/U(1)$) interacting with $A_{n-2}^{(1)}$ abelian affine Toda model. Its main ingredient is the new $SL(3)/U(2)$ integrable model. It is defined by the homogeneous gradation of $A_2^{(1)}$,

$$Q = d, \quad \epsilon_{\pm} = \lambda_2 \cdot H^{(\pm 1)}, \quad \mathcal{G}_0^0 = \{E_{\pm\alpha_1}^{(0)}, h_1, h_2\} \quad (6.5)$$

The corresponding effective action can be obtained by considering the $A_2^{(1)}$ two-loop gauged WZW model and applying the methods described in Sect. 2.1. We take eqns. (2.1) with $A = A_1 + A_0$, $\bar{A} = \bar{A}_1 + \bar{A}_0$ where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= a_1 E_{\alpha_1}^{(1)}, & \bar{A}_1 &= \bar{a}_1 E_{-\alpha_1}^{(1)}, \\ A_0 &= a_{01} \lambda_1 \cdot H + a_{02} (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \cdot H, & \bar{A}_0 &= \bar{a}_{01} \lambda_1 \cdot H + \bar{a}_{02} (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \cdot H \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

and $g_0^f = e^{\chi_1 E_{-\alpha_2} + \chi_2 E_{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}} e^{\psi_1 E_{\alpha_2} + \psi_2 E_{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}}$. We next perform the Gaussian functional integrals in the definition of the partition function of the model,

$$\mathcal{Z}(SL(3)/U(2)) = \int DBDAD\bar{A}e^{-S_{G/H}} = \int DBe^{-S_{eff}}$$

The effective action for the $SL(3)/U(2)$ - model obtained in this way has the form

$$\begin{aligned} S_{eff} = -\frac{k}{2\pi} \int dzd\bar{z} & \left(\frac{1}{\Delta} (\bar{\partial}\psi_1 \partial\chi_1 (1 + \psi_1 \chi_1 + \psi_2 \chi_2) + \bar{\partial}\psi_2 \partial\chi_2 (1 + \psi_1 \chi_1) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{2} (\psi_1 \chi_2 \bar{\partial}\psi_2 \partial\chi_1 + \chi_1 \psi_2 \bar{\partial}\psi_1 \partial\chi_2) - V \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

where $V = \frac{2\pi\mu}{k} \left(\frac{2}{3} + \psi_1 \chi_1 + \psi_2 \chi_2 \right)$ and $\Delta = (1 + \psi_1 \chi_1)^2 + \psi_2 \chi_2 (1 + \frac{3}{4} \psi_1 \chi_1)$.

As in the complex sine-Gordon case, the form of the potential V is an indication that the IM (6.7) can have only *non topological* solitons carrying $U(2)$ Noether charges. Hence this model is *not* of dyonic type. The $A_n^{(1)}(U(2))$, $n > 3$ IMs defined by grading (6.4) representing the $A_{n-2}^{(1)}$ abelian affine Toda model interacting with the model (6.7) are however of dyonic type. Their potential is given by

$$V_n = \frac{\mu}{\beta^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} e^{-\beta k_{ij} \varphi_j} + e^{\beta(\varphi_1 + \varphi_{n-2})} + e^{\beta \varphi_1} (\psi_1 \chi_1 + \psi_2 \chi_2) - n + 1 \right)$$

and for imaginary coupling $\beta \rightarrow i\beta_0$ shows $n - 1$ distinct zeros. Therefore as in $A_n^{(1)}(p)$ dyonic models one expects to have topological solitons but now with $U(2)$ internal degrees of freedom. The explicit construction of such topological solitons with $U(2)$ isospin can be obtained by applying the methods of Sect. 3 and 4.

The *nonabelian* dyonic models $A_n^{(1)}(U(2))$ described above represents the simplest member of the vast family of dyonic models $A_n^{(1)}(A_s)$, $1 \leq s \leq n - 1$, i.e. with $G_0^0 = U(s + 1)$, defined by the graded structure

$$Q_s = (n - s)d + \sum_{i=s+2}^n \lambda_i \cdot H, \quad \epsilon_{\pm} = \sum_{i=s+2}^n E_{\pm\alpha_i}^{(0)} + E_{\mp(\alpha_{s+2}+\dots+\alpha_n)}^{(\pm 1)} \quad (6.8)$$

and $G_0 = SL(s + 2) \otimes U(1)^{n-s-1}$, $G_0^0 = U(s + 1)$. The effective actions for these non-abelian dyonic models can be derived by the methods of Sect. 2 and appear to be a straightforward generalization of the simplest $A_2^{(1)}(U(2))$ action (6.7) we have constructed above.

We should note that the discussion of different dyonic IMs introduced in the present section is far from an effective recipe for their classification. Our purpose is to discuss the properties of a vast family of dyonic IMs according to their symmetries: abelian (i.e. $U(1)^p$), non-abelian, global or local, etc. The selection of the models was done by first choosing the invariant subalgebra (i.e. symmetries) $\mathcal{G}_0^0 \in \mathcal{G}_0$ and next seeking for appropriate grading operator Q and constant grade ± 1 elements $\epsilon_{\pm}$ (such that $[\mathcal{G}_0^0, \epsilon_{\pm}] = [Q, \mathcal{G}_0] = 0$) leading to consistent integrable models of dyonic type. It is clear that the systematic classification program consists in a) listing the admissible gradings for all the affine algebras, b) to define the constant elements of grade ± 1 , $\epsilon_{\pm}$, c) to impose the dyonic conditions, i.e. to separate $\epsilon_{\pm}$ and Q such that $\mathcal{G}_0^0$ is nontrivial and the potential $tr(\epsilon_+ g_0 \epsilon_- g_0^{-1})$ has nontrivial distinct zeroes. Its realization is out of the scope of this paper.

7 Concluding remarks

Motivated by the problem of description of Domain Walls in 4-D $SU(n + 1)$ SDYM we have introduced and studied in the present paper a pair of $A_n^{(1)}$ dyonic IMs (one relativistic and one nonrelativistic) that admit $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ charged topological solitons. The 1-soliton solutions of both models have been explicitly constructed. Since the DWs tensions are known to be proportional to soliton masses, the derivation of the semiclassical spectrum (1.3) of these solitons should be considered as the main result of this paper. Although the soliton mass formulae for $U(1)$ - and $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ - integrable models are quite similar, the semiclassical quantization of the electric charges of the second model represents new feature. Namely, only their difference $Q_1 - Q_n = \beta_0^2 j_{el}$ is quantized. Therefore one can expect that the masses of the multicharged topological solitons of generic $U(1)^p$, $p \geq 3$ dyonic IMs are simple generalization of the known particular cases $p = 1, 2$. The real problem to be solved, however is the semiclassical quantization of the corresponding electric charges Q_a^{el} , $a = 1, 2, \dots, p$. The methods to be used in the construction of these multicharged solitons are indeed an appropriate extension of those presented in Sects. 3 and 4. Whether and how these methods work in the case of non-abelian $SU(2)$ -dyonic IM (6.7) and what is the spectrum of the solitons carrying $SU(2)$ isospin are interesting open questions, which require further investigation.

Together with the dyonic IMs with global $U(1)^p$ symmetry we have derived in Sect. 2 integrable models with *local* symmetries: the so called ungauged IM (2.12) with local $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ and the intermediate model (2.15) with one local and one global $U(1)$ symmetries. It is therefore interesting to know how their solitons or more general string-like finite energy solutions looks like. By construction both models have the 1-soliton solutions of the completely gauged IM (1.2) as particular solutions. Our preliminary analysis shows that their soliton spectra is more complicated and also includes massless solitons and solitonic strings. The complete discussion will be presented elsewhere.

The σ -model form of the Lagrangians (1.1), (1.2), (2.12) and (2.15) constructed in the present paper suggests that they might have interesting string application. Although they are *not* conformal invariant, it is natural to interpret their conformal affine versions [9], [15] (called CAT) as representing strings on curved background $g_{MN}(X)$, $b_{MN}(X)$ and V :

$$\mathcal{L} = (g_{MN}(X)\eta^{\mu\nu} + b_{MN}(X)\epsilon^{\mu\nu}) \partial_\mu X^M \partial_\nu X^N - V(X^L) \quad (7.9)$$

where $X^L = (\psi_a, \chi_a, R_a, \varphi_l, \nu, \eta)$, $L = 1, 2, \dots, D = n + 6$ are the string coordinates and $g_{MN}(X)$ is the target space metric, $b_{MN}(X)$ - the antisymmetric tensor and $V(X)$ - the tachyonic potential. For each *nonconformal* IM one introduces its CAT counterpart by adding a pair of fields (ν, η) , that restores conformal invariance, i.e. by extending the $(n+4)$ -dimensional off-critical string to $(n+6)$ -critical one, for say $n = 20$. In the particular case of ungauged model (2.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{G_0}^{CAT} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} k_{ij} \partial \varphi_i \bar{\partial} \varphi_j + \partial \bar{\chi}_1 \bar{\partial} \bar{\psi}_1 e^{\beta(R_1 - \varphi_1)} + \partial \bar{\chi}_n \bar{\partial} \bar{\psi}_n e^{\beta(R_n - \varphi_{n-2})} + \partial \nu \bar{\partial} \eta + \partial \eta \bar{\partial} \nu \\ &+ \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \left(n \partial R_1 \bar{\partial} R_1 + n \partial R_n \bar{\partial} R_n + \partial R_1 \bar{\partial} R_n + \partial R_n \bar{\partial} R_1 \right) - V_0^{CAT} \end{aligned} \quad (7.10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_0^{CAT} &= \frac{\mu^2}{\beta^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} e^{-\beta k_{ij} \varphi_j} + e^{\beta(\varphi_1 + \varphi_{n-2} - \eta)} (1 + \beta^2 \bar{\psi}_1 \bar{\chi}_1 e^{\beta(R_1 - \varphi_1)}) (1 + \beta^2 \bar{\psi}_n \bar{\chi}_n e^{\beta(R_n - \varphi_{n-2})}) \right. \\ &\left. - (n-1) e^{-\frac{\beta \eta}{n-1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Within this context the ungauged IM (2.12) (i.e. (7.10) with $\eta = \eta_0 = \text{const}$) describes the off-critical (i.e. relevant deformation [4]) and renormalization group flow properties of strings on $AdS_3 \otimes S_3 \otimes T_{n-2}$ -target space, when R_n and φ_l are taken imaginary and ψ_n, χ_n as complex conjugated to each other. The target space metric $g_{MN}(X)$ for gauge fixed IMs (1.2) and (2.15) represents both horizons and singularity and therefore could be used in the study of the off-critical behaviour of 4-D black-holes (and black-strings) with certain additional flat directions $X_l = \varphi_l$.

The exact quantum spectrum of 2-d solitons is known to be crucial in the description of the strong coupling behaviour (and S-duality properties) of the corresponding quantum integrable models [32]. The problem to be solved before any attempt for exact quantization of the considered dyonic IMs is to answer the question: Whether and for which 2-d IMs the semiclassical spectrum survives the renormalization. The answer is known for sine-Gordon

(sG) and the abelian affine Toda models, where the only renormalization is of the coupling constant and indeed the semiclassical spectrum is exact. The quantum consistency of the Lund-Regge model [35], $U(1)$ -dyonic IM (1.1) (see Sect 7.2 of ref. [7]) and T-selfdual Fateev's IMs [32] requires that new counterterms to be added to the original classical Lagrangians. Since the same counterterms are present in the corresponding conformal quantum theories, i.e. the gauged G_0/G_0^0 -WZW models (2.1) [33] one believes that they cannot change the soliton masses. But they do change the form of the $U(1)$ currents and hence they might shift the semiclassical values of the electric charges. Recently the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz analysis [34] of the Homogeneous sine-Gordon models has confirmed the exactness of their semiclassical mass spectra. The answer of the question whether such statement takes also place for multicharged dyonic IMs requires the construction of their exact S-matrices, etc. It exists however a strong hint that the $n \rightarrow \infty$ limit of the semiclassical (Q_1, Q_n) 1-soliton masses

$$M_{j_{el}, j_\varphi}(n \rightarrow \infty) = \frac{\mu}{\beta_0^2} |\beta_0^2 Q_{mag} - Q_1^{el} + Q_n^{el}|$$

is exact. The reason is that in the large n limit the counterterms become proportional to the complicated ψ_a, χ_a kinetic term and thus contribute to the β_0^2 renormalization only.

8 Appendix. Two-Soliton Solution

The generic 4- vertex solution of equations (5.15) can be extracted from eqs. (5.12), (5.14) for $n = 3$ and the constant G_0 group element

$$g^{(1)} = e^{b_2 \bar{F}_{11}(\gamma_2)} e^{b_1 \bar{F}_{11}^\dagger(\gamma_1)} e^{b_4 \bar{F}_{11}^\dagger(\gamma_4)} e^{b_3 \bar{F}_{11}(\gamma_3)} \quad (8.1)$$

where $\bar{F}_{11}(\gamma), \bar{F}_{11}^\dagger(\gamma)$ are given by eqs. (4.13) (for $n = 3$). By calculating the matrix elements (5.12) we obtain the following explicit form of the $G_0 - \tau$ -functions⁹ (and therefore of r_a, q_a and u according to eqs. (5.14)):

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_0 &= 1 + b_1 b_2 c_{12} \rho_1 \rho_2 + b_3 b_4 c_{34} \rho_3 \rho_4 \\ &\quad + c_{1234} \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_4 b_1 b_2 b_3 b_4 \\ \tau_2 &= 1 + b_1 b_2 d_{12} \rho_1 \rho_2 + b_3 b_4 d_{34} \rho_3 \rho_4 \\ &\quad + d_{1234} \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_4 b_1 b_2 b_3 b_4 \\ \tau_{n-1, n} &= (g_3 + b_1 b_2 g_{123} \rho_1 \rho_2) \rho_3 b_3 \\ \tau_{2n} &= (i_4 + b_1 b_2 i_{124} \rho_1 \rho_2) \rho_4 b_4 \\ \tau_{12} &= (e_2 + b_3 b_4 e_{234} \rho_3 \rho_4) \rho_2 b_2 \\ \tau_{1, n-1} &= (f_1 + b_3 b_4 f_{134} \rho_3 \rho_4) \rho_1 b_1 \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

⁹We have determined such solution using the Mathematica program of ref. [31]

where

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1(\gamma_1) &= e^{-x\gamma_1+t\gamma_1^2}, & \rho_2(\gamma_2) &= e^{x\gamma_2-t\gamma_2^2} \\ \rho_3(\gamma_3) &= e^{-x\gamma_3+t\gamma_3^2}, & \rho_4(\gamma_4) &= e^{x\gamma_4-t\gamma_4^2}\end{aligned}\tag{8.3}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned}c_{12} &= \frac{2\gamma_1\gamma_2^2}{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)^2(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)}, & c_{34} &= \frac{2\gamma_3^2\gamma_4}{(\gamma_3-\gamma_4)^2(\gamma_3+\gamma_4)} \\ d_{12} &= \frac{2\gamma_1^2\gamma_2}{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)^2(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)}, & d_{34} &= \frac{2\gamma_3\gamma_4^2}{(\gamma_3-\gamma_4)^2(\gamma_3+\gamma_4)} \\ c_{1234} &= \frac{4\gamma_1\gamma_2^2\gamma_3^2\gamma_4(\gamma_1-\gamma_3)(\gamma_2-\gamma_4)}{(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)^2(\gamma_2-\gamma_3)(\gamma_1-\gamma_4)(\gamma_3+\gamma_4)(\gamma_3-\gamma_4)^2}\end{aligned}\tag{8.4}$$

$$d_{1234} = \frac{4\gamma_1^2\gamma_2\gamma_3\gamma_4^2(\gamma_1-\gamma_3)(\gamma_2-\gamma_4)}{(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)^2(\gamma_2-\gamma_3)(\gamma_1-\gamma_4)(\gamma_3+\gamma_4)(\gamma_3-\gamma_4)^2}\tag{8.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}e_2 &= \sqrt{2}\gamma_2, & e_{234} &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}\gamma_2\gamma_3\gamma_4^2(\gamma_2-\gamma_4)}{(\gamma_2-\gamma_3)(\gamma_3+\gamma_4)(\gamma_3-\gamma_4)^2} \\ f_1 &= \sqrt{2}\gamma_1, & f_{134} &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}\gamma_1\gamma_3^2\gamma_4(\gamma_1-\gamma_3)}{(\gamma_1-\gamma_4)(\gamma_3+\gamma_4)(\gamma_3-\gamma_4)^2} \\ g_3 &= \sqrt{2}\gamma_3, & g_{123} &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}\gamma_1^2\gamma_2\gamma_3(\gamma_1-\gamma_3)}{(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)(\gamma_2-\gamma_3)(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)^2} \\ i_4 &= \sqrt{2}\gamma_4, & i_{124} &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}\gamma_1\gamma_2^2\gamma_4(\gamma_2-\gamma_4)}{(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)(\gamma_1-\gamma_4)(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)^2}\end{aligned}\tag{8.6}$$

It is worthwhile to note again that the 4-vertex solution for arbitrary $q > 2$ integer $A_3^{(1)}(p = 2, q)$ model have the form identical to the $q = 2$ one (8.2), (8.6) with $\rho_i(\gamma_i)$ replaced by, say

$$\rho_1^{(q)}(\gamma) = e^{-x\gamma+t_q\gamma^q}, \text{ etc.}\tag{8.7}$$

For the specific choice of γ_i , namely

$$\gamma_1 = -e^{B_1-i\alpha_1} = -\gamma_2^*, \quad \gamma_3 = -e^{B_2-i\alpha_2} = -\gamma_4^*$$

the above four vertex solution has real energy and momenta and thus represents charged two-soliton solution, where $B_i(v_i = th(B_i))$ are the two independent rapidities (velocities) of the 2-soliton and α_i are related to the Q_1, Q_n charges. As it was demonstrated in Sect. 5.2, the limit when $B_2 = B_1, \alpha_2 = \alpha_1$ leads to the charged 1-soliton solution (5.16).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to L.A. Ferreira and H. Aratyn for discussions and Fapesp, Unesp and CNPq for financial support.

References

- [1] A. Kovner, M. Shiffman and A. Smilga, *Phys. Rev.* **D56**, (1997),7978-7989, also G. Dvali and M. Shiffman, *Phys. Lett.* **B396**,(1997), hep-th/9612128; A. Hanany and K. Hori, *Nucl. Phys.* **B513** (1998) 119 hep-th/9706089.
- [2] E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B507** (1997) 658
- [3] E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B160** (1979) 461
- [4] J. Polchinski and M. Strassler, “The string dual of a confining four dimensional Gauge Theory”, hep-th/0003136; O. Ahrony, S. Gubser, J. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, “Large N Field Theory, String Theory and Gravity”, hep-th/9905111, *Phys. Reports* **323** (2000) 183
- [5] J. Gauntlett, D. Tong, P. Townsend, “Supersymmetric intersecting domain walls in massive hyperkahler sigma models”, hep-th/0007124, *Phys. Rev.* **D63** (2001) 085001; J. Gauntlett, R. Portugues, D. Tong, P. Townsend, “D-brane solitons in supersymmetric models” *Phys. Rev.* **D63** (2001) 085002, hep-th/0008221;
- [6] A. N. Leznov, M. V. Saveliev, Group Theoretical Methods for Integration of Nonlinear Dynamical Systems, Progress in Physics, Vol. 15 (1992), Birkhauser Verlag, Berlin; L.A. Ferreira, J.L. Miramontes and J.S. Guillen, *Nucl. Phys.* **B449** (1995) 631;
- [7] J.F. Gomes, E.P. Gueuvoghlanian, G.M. Sotkov and A.H. Zimerman, “ Electrically charged topological solitons” *Nucl. Phys.* **B606** (2001) 441, hep-th 0007169
- [8] J.F. Gomes, E.P. Gueuvoghlanian, G.M. Sotkov and A.H. Zimerman, “Dyonic Integrable Models”,*Nucl. Phys.* **B598** (2001) 615 also in hep-th/0011187.
- [9] O. Babelon and L. Bonora, *Phys. Lett.* **244B** (1990) 220
- [10] H.C. Liao, D. Olive and N. Turok, *Phys. Lett.* **298B** (1993) 95; D. Olive, N. Turok and J. Underwood *Nucl. Phys.* **B409** (1993) 509; *Nucl. Phys.* **B401** (1993) 663; T.J. Hollowood, *Nucl. Phys.* **B384** (1992) 523
- [11] J.F. Gomes, E.P. Gueuvoghlanian, G.M. Sotkov and A.H. Zimerman, “ Self-Dual Domain Walls”, in preparation
- [12] F. Lund and T. Regge, *Phys. Rev.* **D14** (1976) 1524;F. Lund, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **38** (1977) 1175; B.S. Getmanov, *JETP Lett.* **25**(1977)119; N. Dorey and T.J. Hollowood, *Nucl. Phys.* **B440** (1995) 215;
- [13] A. Chodos, *Phys. Rev.* **D21** (1980) 2818;
- [14] J.L. Miramontes, *Nucl. Phys.* **B547** (1999) 623

- [15] H. Aratyn, L.A. Ferreira, J.F. Gomes and A.H. Zimerman, *Phys. Lett* **B 254** (1991) 372; L.A. Ferreira, J.F. Gomes, A. Schwimmer and A.H. Zimerman, *Phys. Lett* **B 274** (1992) 65
- [16] H. Aratyn, L.A. Ferreira, J.F. Gomes and A.H. Zimerman, *J. Phys.* **A31**, (1998) 9483; H. Aratyn, L.A. Ferreira, J.F. Gomes and A.H. Zimerman, *Supersymmetry and Integrable models* (Lecture Notes in Physics Vol. 502), Ed. H. Aratyn et. al. (Berlin, Springer), p. 197-210, (1998)
- [17] J.F. Gomes, E.P. Gueuvoghlianian, G.M. Sotkov and A.H. Zimerman, “T-duality of axial and vector dyonic integrable models”, hep-th/0007116, *Ann. of Phys.* **289** (2001) 232
- [18] H. Aratyn, L.A. Ferreira, J.F. Gomes and A.H. Zimerman, *J. Phys.* **A33**, (2000), L331
- [19] D. Fioravanti and M. Stanishkov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B591** (2000) 685. hep-th/0005158
- [20] I. Cabrera-Carnero, J.F. Gomes, E.P. Gueuvoghlianian, G.M. Sotkov and A.H. Zimerman, “Non Abelian Toda Models and Constrained KP Hierarchies”, To appear in the VII International Wigner Symposium, Maryland (2001), hep-th/0109117
- [21] E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, “Symmetries of Supersymmetric Integrable Hierarchies of KP type” nlin.si/0102010.
- [22] J. Balog, L. Feher , L. O’Raifeartaigh, P. Forgacs and A. Wipf, *Ann. of Phys.* **203**, (1990), 76; L. Feher , L. O’Raifeartaigh, P. Ruelle, I. Tsutsui and A. Wipf, *Phys. Reports* **222** (1992) 1
- [23] J.F. Gomes, G.M. Sotkov and A.H. Zimerman, “Parafermionic reductions of WZNW model”, hep-th 9803234, *Ann. of Phys.* **274**, (1999), 289-362
- [24] C.R. Fernandez-Pousa, M.V. Gallas, T.J. Hollowood and J.L. Miramontes, *Nucl. Phys.* **B484** (1997) 609; *Nucl. Phys.* **B499** (1997) 673; C.R. Fernandez-Pousa and J.L. Miramontes, *Nucl. Phys.* **B518** (1998) 745
- [25] J.F. Gomes, E.P. Gueuvoghlianian, G.M. Sotkov and A.H. Zimerman, “Classical Integrability of Non Abelian Affine Toda Models, to appear in the Proc. of the XXIII International Colloquium on Group Theoretical Methods in Physics, Ed. G. Pogosyan et. al., Dubna (2000), hep-th/0010257
- [26] E. Witten, *Phys. Lett.* **86B** (1979) 283
- [27] J. Polchinski, *String Theory*, Vol. I, Cambridge Univ. Press (1998)
- [28] O. Babelon and D. Bernard, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A8**(1993)507
- [29] S. Coleman, *Phys. Rev.* **D11** (1975) 2088
- [30] H. Aratyn, C.P. Constantinides, L.A. Ferreira, J.F. Gomes and A.H. Zimerman, *Nucl. Phys.* **B406**(1993),727

- [31] A.G. Bueno, L.A. Ferreira and A.V. Razumov, “Confinement and Soliton Solutions in the $SL(3)$ Toda Model coupled to Matter Fields”, hep-th/0105078
- [32] V.A. Fateev, *Nucl. Phys.* **B479** (1996) 594
- [33] A. Tseytlin, *Nucl. Phys.* **B399** (1993) 601; *Nucl. Phys.* **B411** (1994) 509
- [34] O.A. Castro-Alvaredo, A. Fring, C. Korff and J.L. Miramontes, *Nucl. Phys.* **B575** (2000) 535; O.A. Castro-Alvaredo and A. Fring, *Nucl. Phys.* **B604** (2001) 367
- [35] H. J. de Vega and J.M. Maillet, *Phys. Rev.* **D28** (1983) 1441